September 2022

# Adams County Community Health Needs Assessment

Summary of Findings



# Table of Contents

Overview	1
Key Findings	3
Context	2
Data Sources	3
Overview of Secondary Data	4
Health Related Indicators	5
COVID Related Indicators	7
Stressors	7
Economic Hardships	8
Social Determinants	9
Table 1. County Health Rankings for Adams County, 2022	5
Table 2. Proportion of Adults Reporting Attitude, Behavior or Experience for Selected Community Health Needs Assessment Items, Adams County 2019 and 2022	6
Table 3. Effects of COVID-19 Outbreak	7
Table 4. Stressors	7
Table 5. Economic Hardships	8
Table 6. Proportion of Adults and Social Service Agency Participants Reporting Attitude, Behavior or Experience for Selected Community Health Needs Assessment Items, Adams County 2022	10
Table 7. Demographic and Psychosocial Associations to Health Indicators	11

Attachment A: Secondary Data Analysis Summary

# Overview

# Key Findings

The data reported below suggest that the issues affecting or likely to affect the health of Adams County residents include problems arising from limited health access, social determinants of health issues around poverty, trauma, and housing, poor mental health, and too few positive health behaviors. Specific findings include:

- Survey data show that Adams County residents' health issues are strongly associated with obesity and associated indicators such as poor eating, a lack of physical activities, and poor mental health.
- Adams County adults reported they were less physically active (less exercise or weight training) and were also less likely to report one or more economic hardships since the last CHNA.
- There are too few suicide deaths in Adams County to calculate a rate, but overall deaths (ageadjusted rate) have decreased in Adams County since 2010.
- One significant access issue is a lack of insurance among Latinos (generally three to four times as high as among whites).
- Corresponding to the insurance issue is the sizable income inequality by race and ethnicity within these communities. Blacks and Hispanics have significantly lower median household income than Whites in all WellSpan counties.
- Adams County ranks favorably in the Robert Wood Johnson's County Health Rankings, including number 7 overall (out of 67 counties) for Social & Economic factors and number 11 overall for Health Behaviors. Adams County's relative health ranking has remained mostly consistent since 2016 and is typically within the top 20 percent of Pennsylvania counties. The County Health Rankings data suggest that Adams County residents' health issues are strongly associated with health behaviors, including obesity and associated indicators such as poor eating, a lack of physical activities, and poor mental health. Clinical care indicators are relatively poor due to having too few primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers.

# Context

The leading causes of death and disability have changed markedly over the past century. In the United States today, the leading causes of death are all non-communicable diseases: heart disease, cancer, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Compare today's causes of death to 1900, when infectious diseases like pneumonia, influenza, and tuberculosis accounted for most mortality.<sup>i</sup> Improvements in sanitation and hygiene, vaccinations, and the emergence of antibiotics helped reduce infectious disease, in turn leading to increases in longevity and decreases in infant mortality.<sup>ii</sup>

Reducing death and disability from chronic disease is likely best approached similarly to the way the public health sector attacked the problems of infectious disease; i.e., through interventions aimed at society as a whole and not solely at individuals. A public health approach defined infectious disease as a community problem and it would be wise to define non-communicable disease as a community problem as well.

Prevention of lifestyle diseases for too long has focused on trying to change individual behavior and choices and has focused on the individual and their personal responsibilities. This individual focus ignores research that clearly shows how influential physical and social surroundings are on individuals' actions. Context and environment shape decision-making processes that impact health. Research suggests that altering environments may be an effective driver of behavior change. Intentionally designing environments and policies, in terms of both public laws and organizational practices, to promote healthy behaviors holds promise to reverse the increase of lifestyle diseases.<sup>iii</sup>

The data included in this community health assessment primarily focus on individuals, the incidence and prevalence of specific conditions, attitudes, and behaviors present within the local community, but such data represent only part of the story. There are multiple influences on community health and multiple barriers to health improvement. Identifying, documenting, and addressing these multiple influences and barriers are as necessary for improving a community's health as is understanding individual-level data. This means that communities must address multiple factors impacting health through policy interventions that emphasize the interaction between individual characteristics and environmental context. For example, efforts to educate people on the importance of exercise will do little to change behaviors if people lack safe, affordable, and accessible places to exercise.

Chronic, non-communicable diseases pose a tremendous health burden throughout the world, but it is not only death that matters. We are also interested in disability. Long-term health risk and disability can be quantified by calculating something known as disability-adjusted life years. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) calculations provide an estimate of the burden of disease by assessing premature mortality and disability, thus providing an overall view of the most important contributors to health loss. In the United States, the leading causes of DALYs are the non-communicable diseases mentioned earlier: heart disease, lung cancer, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, accidents, diabetes, and major depressive disorders. But focusing primarily on these diseases emphasizes treatment, not root causes and prevention, and will do little to reduce lives lost and disability.

Instead of focusing on specific conditions, the data gathered in this Community Health Needs Assessment identifies the risk factors that lead to these conditions and create the most premature death and disability. In the United States and Pennsylvania today, those behaviors include dietary risks, smoking and alcohol use, and high body mass index. Each contributes to cancer, cardiovascular and circulatory disorders, chronic respiratory diseases, and diabetes. So, understanding how many county residents smoke, drink, get insufficient physical activity, and who fail to eat properly and maintain a



healthy weight helps us understand how many area residents are exposed to significant long-term health risks and gives Adams County somewhere to focus. Interpreting these behaviors in the context of demographic and psychosocial characteristics will help guide that focus.

# Data Sources

The primary source of local, current information comes from a Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) survey. The CHNA survey information is based on a behavioral risk factor survey of 197 adult residents of Adams County. The survey interviewing took place from January – March, 2022. The survey sample was designed to be representative of the adult, non-institutionalized population of Adams County. Any non-CHNA data used to profile the social determinants of health and demographic makeup of Adams County, which includes statistics related to population growth, employment, income, expenses, income supports, poverty, housing, transportation, the environment, education, social integration, and stress come primarily from government sources like the American Community Survey, the Pennsylvania Department of Health, and other similar government-supported data collection systems. Data used to profile health-related indicators such as health care access, mortality, morbidity, and health behaviors, also come primarily from publicly-available sources that include the Census Bureau's American Community Survey, the Pennsylvania Department of Health, and the County Health Rankings.

This assessment also uses supplemental data from a Special Population Community Survey which was distributed through local health coalitions' contacts and partners with a desire to collect a greater number of survey responses from racial/ethnic minority groups, low-income individuals living in poverty and families. The survey, administered online, yielded 214 responses in Adams County and was conducted from February – April, 2022.

The Special Population Community Survey was distributed through a grassroots effort across the county. Social service agencies, community-based organizations and local non-profit partners were instrumental in distributing the survey to their client and mailing list contacts. Though the sample size was large, the sample did not necessarily aim to mirror the demographics of the communities; instead, the goal of the Special Population Community Survey was to provide an opportunity beyond the representative survey methodology to collect the responses of diverse populations within our community to better understand their health. Comparisons between the general public and the Special Population Community Survey are discussed in a later section.

# **Overview of Secondary Data**

There are roughly 102,470 community members who call Adams County home. Adams County's median household income of \$67,253 is slightly higher than the Pennsylvania median (\$63,627). Adams County has nearly one in ten community members living in poverty (7.8%). Adams County's median home value (\$205,800), median monthly rent (\$922) and the owner-occupied housing rate (78%) are similar to the state overall (\$187,500, \$958 and 69.0%, respectively). Please see Attachment A – Secondary Data Analysis for the full secondary data report.

The secondary data shows that health access is a significant issue in Adams County. Part of this comes from having too few healthcare professionals and part of this is a high rate of uninsuredness among Latinos. Slow population growth reflects an aging population, which also underscores the importance of prevention behaviors as a means of slowing health costs. Key points:

- One significant access issue for these counties is a lack of insurance Latinos (generally three to four times as high as among whites).
- Corresponding to the insurance issue is the sizable income inequality by race and ethnicity within these communities. Blacks and Hispanics have significantly lower median household income than Whites in all WellSpan counties. This truth may be underappreciated because Adams County is predominantly white and not very diverse demographically.
- The local population continues to age. In all mid-state counties, the population under 10 years of age is declining and in most counties the population under 20 years of age is declining. The aging of the population reflects the fact that there is relatively little in-migration from other parts of the United States.
- Housing costs are a significant issue, with, on average, more than one in five (20%) homeowners and about one in two (46%) renters having severe financial stress from their housing costs.
- Social factors related to aging and single parenthood exacerbate social determinants issues. Increasing shares of the population are over 65 years of age and living alone or are single females living with children.
- Overweight and obesity are too high among children, according to data collected by the state.
- Free and reduced lunch rates, an indicator of economic disadvantage with social determinants of health consequences, are rising in most every school district and are extremely high in some areas.
- Death rates have surged as a result of COVID-19.
- Adams County ranks favorably in the Robert Wood Johnson's County Health Rankings, including number 7 overall (out of 67 counties) for Social & Economic factors and number 11 overall for Health Behaviors. Adams County's relative health ranking has remained mostly consistent since 2016 and is typically within the top 20 percent of Pennsylvania counties. The County Health Rankings data suggest that Adams County residents' health issues are strongly associated with health behaviors, including obesity and associated indicators such as poor eating, a lack of physical activities, and poor mental health. Clinical care indicators are relatively poor due to having too few primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers



County	Length of Life	Quality of Life	Health Behaviors	Clinical Care	Social & Economic Factors	Physical Environment
Adams	9	18	13	26	7	5
	Тор Р	erforming Cou	nties			
Chester	3	1	1	10	1	44
Centre	1	5	6	16	2	29
Montgomery	5	2	2	2	3	37

Table 1. County Health Rankings for Adams County, 2022

### Health-Related Indicators

Identifying community health needs based on the prevalence of health risks and health disparities emphasizes those health risks that contribute most to the non-communicable diseases that are among the leading causes of death and disability. This approach shows the most significant health risks in southcentral Pennsylvania relate to obesity, including obesity-related behaviors such as diet and exercise, and mental health in terms of both the number of people affected and the amount of death and disability each creates. Preliminary data also show that health care access issues exacerbate these problems and that most of these risk factors are unevenly distributed across demographic groups.

Table 2 shows the current distribution of the health-related attitudes, behaviors and experiences of Adams County adults in 2022 and in 2017. Reviewing the overall data shows that access indicators are generally favorable, with most residents reporting they have health care coverage, and a personal physician. Still, about one in three residents have not seen a dentist in the past year, and about one in three residents experienced stress about money worries. Many of those with insurance have high deductible health plans, and a sizable number of these plan holders have skipped treatment due to cost in the past year. Behavioral risk indicators show that few residents exercise regularly and far too many residents are overweight or obese. Behavioral indicators also show that about one in seven residents is a current smoker. Finally, nearly three in five residents exhibited some depressive symptoms, two in five say that the COVID pandemic negatively affected their mental health, and one in four experienced at least some stress due to loss of a loved one. About one third of adults say they have not received any COVID vaccine and, consequently, one in five does not believe that vaccines in general are safe and effective.

Comparing the county-level survey indicators to the indicators from the prior county health needs assessment survey finds that most comparable indicators have changed little. Table 2 presents CHNA survey indicators and a comparison to data collected in in Adams County's 2017 CHNA.

Indicator	2017	2022
Did not receive health care because of a lack of transportation	5%	2%
Adult e-cigarette vaping (% current vapers)	6%	6%
Used illegal drugs in past year	4%	3%
Fell behind paying rent or mortgage		3%
Did not receive health care in past year because of cost	9%	3%
PHQ-8 current depression indicator-currently depressed	10%	7%
Skipped or reduced meals		7%
Experienced any unfair treatment b/c of race, ethnicity or cultural background		6%
Uninsured (% of pop. under age 65 without health insurance)	12%	4%
No health insurance any time during past year (ages 18 - 64)	15%	13%
Ate fast food three or more days in past week	4%	7%
Does not get needed social and emotional support	5%	16%
Experienced a reduction in pay		10%
Adult smoking (% current smokers)	18%	14%
Binge drinking behavior	16%	12%
Does not have a personal physician	14%	9%
Poor or fair health	15%	18%
Needs help reading health materials at least occasionally		19%
Used marijuana one or more days in past month		12%
Does not believe vaccines are generally safe and effective		21%
Experienced any trauma symptoms		19%
Has a high-deductible health plan	19%	28%
COVID negatively impacted family finances		21%
Economic hardships (one or more)	31%	26%
No routine check-up with doctor in past 12 months	21%	16%
Has not seen a dentist in past year	32%	34%
Has not received COVID vaccine		32%
Stressed about recent loss of a loved one		25%
Did not participate in physical activities or exercise in past month	31%	39%
COVID negatively impacted mental health		39%
At least one day mental health was not good in past month	34%	42%
At least one day physical health was not good in past month	43%	43%
Stressed about money worries		38%
No strength training in past month	53%	70%
One or more days with depressive symptoms in past two weeks	59%	61%
BMI: Overweight and Obese	72%	82%
Experienced any symptoms of not getting enough sleep		76%

Table 2. Proportion of Adults Reporting Attitude, Behavior or Experience for Selected Community Health NeedsAssessment Items, Adams County 2017 and 2022

# **COVID-Related Indicators**

In addition to the indicators discussed in Table 2 that represent data gathered during a typical community health needs assessment process, the circumstances created by the COVID-19 epidemic necessitated a closer look at indicators that might reflect residents' experiences during the pandemic. Table 3 shows that the pandemic's primary impact was on residents' mental well-being. Two in five (39%) respondents said that the COVID outbreak negatively impacted their mental health. One in three (35%) were unable to get the things they need due to the COVID outbreak. One in five (21%) respondents reported that their family finances were negatively impacted.

#### Table 3. Effects of COVID-19 Outbreak

Do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

	Adams
The COVID-19 outbreak has impacted my mental health in a negative way.	39%
It has been difficult for me to get the things I need due to the COVID-19 outbreak.	35%
The COVID-19 outbreak has impacted my family's finances in a negative way.	21%
The loss of a family member to COVID hurt my personal well-being.	9%
The loss of a family member to COVID hurt my household finances.	8%

### Stressors

To better contextualize the mental health and financial strains created by the COVID epidemic, the survey gathered data about life stressors and financial hardships experienced in the year prior to the survey. A majority of survey respondents said they had experienced at least some stress from feeling overloaded, about half experienced family problems, and about a third experienced some stress from work problems or money worries.

Table 4. How much of a hassle were the following things for you – did they cause no stress, some stress, moderate stress, or severe stress for you during the past 12 months? Adams County

	Some, Moderate,
	or Severe stress
Feeling generally ``overloaded´´	61%
Problems related to family	58%
Money worries like paying bills	38%
Work or job problems	35%
Recent loss of a loved one	25%
Problems with your friends	24%
Having to move, either recently or in the future	16%
Worries about food, shelter, health care, and transportation	15%
Crime or safety in your neighborhood	12%
Sexual, emotional, or physical abuse	11%
Problems with alcohol or drugs	2%

# **Economic Hardships**

The share of respondents who reported at least one economic hardship (26% as reported in Table 1), was significantly lower than the proportion who were stressed about money worries (38%). While experiencing an economic hardship is somewhat common, experiencing any one specific hardship is less common. One in ten respondents had experienced a reduction in pay, and one in fourteen skipped or reduced meals in the past year, which were the most common hardships (see Table 5). Housing problems, either evictions or having to live temporarily in a shelter or with others, were reported by few respondents.

Data in Table 2 shows that the proportion of respondents experiencing at least one economic hardship is *lower* compared to the prior needs assessment. The expected financial disruptions created by COVID, and recent reporting about rapid inflation, including the hardships it is creating, could cause some to speculate that economic hardships are underreported in this assessment. Despite expectations that recent events should be driving increased economic hardships, the government's COVID-19 safety net policies had a positive effect on household finances, particularly for lower income households. The University of Michigan reports that,

In sum, though inflation remains of great concern to the American public, data indicate the economic and financial gains made by American households during the pandemic persisted through the end of 2021, despite rising prices. This is particularly true for low-income U.S. households. It is for this reason we argue that any discussion of inflation must be brought into conversation with, and balanced by, the historic success of the economic recovery, which has placed so many U.S. households in a strong financial position. This further means it will be important to track indicators of financial well-being and material hardship as the nation gets further away from pandemic safety net policies.<sup>iv</sup>

Table 5. The following questions are about several factors that can affect a person's health. Did any of the following	
hardships happen to you in the last 12 months?	

	Adams
Did you lack health insurance coverage?	10%
Did you experience a reduction in pay for any reason?	10%
Were you unemployed and looking for work for as long as a month?	8%
Did you skip or reduce your meals?	7%
Were you unable to get any needed prescription medications because you couldn't afford it?	5%
Did you have any utilities, such as water, heat, or electricity, shut off because you couldn't afford it?	4%
Did you fall behind in paying your rent or mortgage?	3%
Were you let go or permanently laid off from your job?	2%
Were you worried that your food would run out before you got money to buy more?	2%
Were you unable to purchase needed food because you couldn't afford it?	1%
Were you unable to get needed medical care because you couldn't afford it?	1%
Were you unable to purchase needed GAS because you couldn't afford it?	1%
For financial reasons, did you have to temporarily live with others or in a shelter or on the street	1%
during the last 12 months?	1 /0
Were you evicted from your apartment or house?	0%



# Social Determinants

Public health researchers frequently attribute persistent patterns of health disparities, i.e., gaps in access, conditions, or behaviors that are larger for some demographic groups than for others, to a set of social determinants. These social determinants can include not only demographic attributes but also psychosocial stressors, such as discrimination and trauma.<sup>v</sup> Social determinants thinking suggests that health is determined by access to social and economic opportunities that arise from the places where we learn, live, and work. According to the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), "The conditions in which we live explain in part why some Americans are healthier than others and why Americans more generally are not as healthy as they could be." As such, the CDC has established a set of indicators that can track progress towards a goal of creating social and physical environments that promote good health for all people.

When comparing responses from the representative community survey with the Special Population Community Survey, respondents from the Special Population Community Survey are more likely to report poor mental and physical health and to have experienced some trauma and are more likely to be stressed about money worries, including being twice as likely to have skipped meals. Additionally, those in the Special Population Survey seem to report some positive health related behaviors (less smoking, vaping, illegal drug use), despite struggling with mental health (more likely to be currently depressed). Not surprisingly, this data demonstrates that individuals currently seeking services and support from local social service providers are more likely to demonstrate economic hardship than randomly selected respondents in our community. Negative health outcomes are often attributed to those with more economic hardships, a correlation that is also observed here. This reinforces the need to address social determinants of health barriers to overcome health disparities.



To Broton	General	Special
Indicator	Population	Population
Did not exercise 30 minutes on five days in past week	84%	81%
BMI: Overweight and Obese	82%	77%
Experienced any symptoms of not getting enough sleep	76%	55%
No strength training in past month	70%	59%
One or more days with depressive symptoms in past two weeks	61%	68%
At least one day physical health was not good in past month	43%	52%
At least one day mental health was not good in past month	42%	55%
Did not participate in physical activities or exercise in past month	39%	39%
COVID negatively impacted mental health	39%	50%
Stressed about money worries	38%	51%
Has not seen a dentist in past year	34%	40%
Has not received COVID vaccine	32%	10%
Has a high-deductible health plan	28%	23%
Economic hardships (one or more)	26%	26%
Stressed about recent loss of a loved one	25%	39%
Does not believe vaccines are generally safe and effective	21%	3%
COVID negatively impacted family finances	21%	31%
Needs help reading health materials at least occasionally	19%	20%
Experienced any trauma symptoms	19%	22%
Poor or fair health	18%	16%
Does not get needed social and emotional support	16%	16%
No routine check-up with doctor in past 12 months	16%	16%
Adult smoking (% current smokers)	14%	9%
No health insurance any time during past year (ages 18 - 64)	13%	9%
Binge drinking behavior	12%	15%
Used marijuana one or more days in past month	12%	14%
Experienced a reduction in pay	10%	10%
Does not have a personal physician	9%	11%
PHQ-8 current depression indicator-currently depressed	7%	13%
Skipped or reduced meals	7%	14%
Ate fast food three or more days in past week	7%	10%
Adult e-cigarette vaping (% current vapers)	6%	4%
Experienced any unfair treatment b/c of race, ethnicity or cultural background	6%	14%
Uninsured (% of pop. under age 65 without health insurance)	4%	10%
Used illegal drugs in past year	3%	2%
Fell behind paying rent or mortgage	3%	8%
Did not receive health care in past year because of cost	3%	8%
Did not receive health care because of a lack of transportation	2%	8%

Table 6. Proportion of Adults and Social Service Agency Participants Reporting Attitude, Behavior or Experiencefor Selected Community Health Needs Assessment Items, Adams County 2022

Table 7 displays the relationships that exist between each survey indicator and demographic information such as poverty status, race and ethnicity, gender, age, and county, and psychosocial determinants such as depression, trauma experiences, and discrimination. The color coding identifies whether there is a significant relationship between each indicator and each subgroup and how strong those differences are; the darkest coloring indicates the strongest associations.

The area's health disparities, generally speaking, show clear patterns. Age is significantly associated with differential outcomes related to many indicators. Older residents are more likely to have better access to healthcare and have better rates on most prevention-related indicators, although they are also more likely to have specific health conditions. Younger residents are more likely to drink, use illegal drugs, and smoke (cigarettes and e-cigarettes). Race and ethnicity is also significantly associated with differential outcomes related to access, conditions, and prevention behaviors. Poverty is significantly associated with differential outcomes related to access, behaviors, conditions, and prevention. There are few notable differences by gender.

Table 7 also shows the overwhelming disparities that relate to psychosocial indicators related to depression, trauma experiences, and discrimination. These experiences produce some of the strongest disparities across most types of indicators. In most cases, these psychosocial attributes result in greater disparities for more indicators than any of the demographic characteristics, although it is essential to note that some groups are more likely to report these experiences.

Indicator	Poverty	Race/Ethnicity	Gender	Age	Depression	Trauma Experience	Unfair Treatment
Did not receive health care because of a lack of transportation							
Adult e-cigarette vaping (% current vapers)							
Used illegal drugs in past year							
Fell behind paying rent or mortgage							
Did not receive health care in past year because of cost							
PHQ-8 current depression indicator-currently depressed							
Skipped or reduced meals							
Experienced any unfair treatment b/c of race, ethnicity or cultural background							
Uninsured (% of pop. under age 65 without health insurance)							
No health insurance any time during past year (ages 18 - 64)							
Ate fast food three or more days in past week							
Does not get needed social and emotional support							
Experienced a reduction in pay							
Adult smoking (% current smokers)							
Binge drinking behavior							
Does not have a personal physician							
Poor or fair health							
Needs help reading health materials at least occasionally							
Used marijuana one or more days in past month							
Does not believe vaccines are generally safe and effective							
Experienced any trauma symptoms							
Has a high-deductible health plan							
COVID negatively impacted family finances							
Economic hardships (one or more)							
No routine check-up with doctor in past 12 months							
Has not seen a dentist in past year							
Has not received COVID vaccine							
Stressed about recent loss of a loved one							
Did not participate in physical activities or exercise in past month							
COVID negatively impacted mental health							
At least one day mental health was not good in past month							
At least one day physical health was not good in past month							
Stressed about money worries							
No strength training in past month							
One or more days with depressive symptoms in past two weeks							
BMI: Overweight and Obese							
Experienced any symptoms of not getting enough sleep							
Did not exercise 30 minutes on five days in past week							
		-					
No significant difference	p. > .05						
Significantly different, weak association							
Significantly different moderate association							

Table 7. Demographic and Psychosocial Associations to Health Indicators

Significantly different, moderate association p. < .05, sresid > 3 nificantly different. strong association p. < .05. sresid > 4



#### Notes

Infectious diseases are caused by pathogenic microorganisms, such as bacteria, viruses, parasites or fungi; the diseases can be spread, directly or indirectly, from one person to another. Zoonotic diseases are infectious diseases of animals that can cause disease when transmitted to humans. Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), also known as chronic diseases, are not passed from person to person. They are of long duration and generally slow progression. The four main types of noncommunicable diseases are cardiovascular diseases (like heart attacks and stroke), cancers, chronic respiratory diseases (such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and asthma) and diabetes. (WHO definitions)

"http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/mm4829a1.htm

<sup>iv</sup> See https://poverty.umich.edu/publications/material-hardship-and-well-being-of-u-s-households-at-the-end-of-2021/

v See "Discrimination: A Social Determinant Of Health Inequities", Health Affairs Blog, February 25, 2020.

DOI: 10.1377/hblog20200220.518458

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iii</sup>See Stulberg, Harvard Public Health Review, Vol 2, Oct 2014

# Attachment A: Secondary Data Analysis

# Data Overview

All data in the tables below are extracted from Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS) 1year and 5-year estimates, except where noted. Since all ACS data is survey based, all of the estimates provided in this report are subject to sampling error. This report uses ACS 5-year survey estimates whenever possible because the data has larger sample sizes, which produces more precise estimates due to smaller sampling error. When population subgroups are particularly small, readers are reminded that large year-to-year changes in the estimates may be a result of sampling variability. All monetary values in the tables are expressed in 2019 inflation-adjusted dollars, using the CPI index for all urban consumers.

# Demographic Statistics

# A. Health Care Access Statistics

#### Health Insurance Coverage

Health coverage rates have increased in Adams County for most groups (Health Insurance G1).

#### **County Health Rankings**

Adams County ranks favorably in the Robert Wood Johnson's County Health Rankings, including number 7 overall (out of 67 counties) for Social & Economic factors and number 11 overall for Health Behaviors. Adams County's relative health ranking has remained mostly consistent since 2016 and is typically within the top 20 percent of Pennsylvania counties. The County Health Rankings data suggest that Adams County residents' health issues are strongly associated with health behaviors, including obesity and associated indicators such as poor eating, a lack of physical activities, and poor mental health. Clinical care indicators are relatively poor due to having too few primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers (<u>County Health Rankings</u>).

#### Deaths

There are too few suicide deaths in Adams County to calculate a rate. Overall deaths (age-adjusted rate) have decreased in Adams County since 2010 (<u>Deaths</u>).

# **B.** Population Statistics

#### Total Population

Adams County's population has grown at the same rate as that of the State. Adams County's population growth rate is not as high as that of the United States, which is 6% (<u>Population A1</u>).



#### Population Shares by Age

The median age in Adams County is higher than that of the state and the nation. Adams County has a higher share of residents ages 85 and over compared to the nation (<u>Population A2</u>).

#### Share of Population by Race and Ethnicity

The population shares of minority groups in Adams County has been decreasing or staying the same. White persons continue to comprise over 94% of the population in Adams County (<u>Population A3</u>).

#### Internet Access

Adams County has access to broadband internet, while only two in five households actually have broadband internet. (Internet Access).

#### Language

Over 93% of households in Adams County speak only English. This rate is higher than that of the state and nation. The most common second language spoken for all counties is Spanish or Spanish Creole (Language).

### C. Income Statistics

#### Household and Family Income

Incomes in Adams County have been increasing in recent years, even after adjusting for inflation. The second highest income households and the lowest income households in Adams County experienced the biggest percentage income gains between the two reference periods. In Adams County, the second lowest quintile income experienced the lowest gains (Income C-1).

#### Median Household Income by Race and Ethnicity

There are large income disparities by race and ethnicity in Adams County, with Latino households having much lower median income levels than White households. There are too few Black households in the county that there was not reliable data reported for that item per the American Community Census Data (Income C-2).

#### Poverty

In Adams County, poverty rates have slightly decreased for most people, although poverty among families has remain unchanged. Poverty has increased for married couple families with children (Income <u>C-3</u>).

#### Households with Supplemental Benefits in the Past 12 Months

The number of households in Adams County that receive supplemental benefits, which include food stamps/SNAP, cash public assistance, and supplemental security income, has increased for those 60 years and older, and has decreased for households below poverty level and for those with children under 18 (Income C-4).

#### Per-Capita Income

Even accounting for inflation, per-capita income has increased in Adams County (Income C-5).

### D. Employment Statistics

#### Employment Status of the Population 16 Years and Older

Over the past 5 years, the rate of labor force participation has decreased in Adams County (<u>Employment</u> <u>D-1</u>).

#### Means of Transportation to Work

The average length of workers' commutes in Adams County has increased slightly in recent years. Adams County has experienced a slight increase in rates of walking, which is contrary to the state and the nation. Adams County has seen an increase in working from home as have the state and the nation (<u>Employment D-2</u>).

#### **Employment by Occupation**

Jobs in Adams County have shifted away from sales and manufacturing and moved to professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services (Employment D-3).

#### Employment by Class of Worker

Employment in Adams County has shifted away from self-employed jobs government and private wage and salary jobs (<u>Employment D-4</u>).

#### Employment by Industry Sector

Adams County has experienced a shift of employment away from sectors such manufacturing, finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing, and into health care and social assistance (Employment D-5).

### E. Education Statistics

#### Educational Attainment of the 25+ Population

Adams County is experiencing an increase in the educational attainment levels of adults (Education E-1).

#### School Enrollment

The percentages of college-age adults who are enrolled in higher education has decreased for men and increased for women in Adams County (<u>Education E-2</u>).

# F. Household Statistics

#### Households by Occupancy

Adams County has seen an increase in house vacancy rates. The share of renter-occupied housing units has decreased in Adams County (<u>Household B-1</u>).

#### Housing Characteristics

Inflation adjusted median home value has remained virtually stagnant in Adams County. Housing affordability has improved for owners and renters in Adams County (<u>Household B-2</u>).

#### Households by Type

Household size has slightly decreased in Adams County, and has remained virtually stagnant in the U.S. Shares of single-parent households have increased slightly in Adams County for male household with no spouse present (<u>Household B-3</u>).

### G. Children

#### Health Insurance Coverage for Children under 6 Years of Age

The U.S. and PA have seen a decrease and Adams County has seen an increase in health insurance coverage for children under 6 years old (<u>Health Insurance G1</u>).

#### **Uninsured Population under Age 25**

Among the 6-18 age group, the rate of uninsured is 5% in Adams County (Health Insurance).

#### Medicaid Enrollment among Children

Adams County has over 7,000 children enrolled in Medicaid (Medicaid).

#### TANF – Children Receiving Aid

Adams County has seen a decrease in children receiving TANF from 2010 to 2019 (TANF).

#### SNAP – Children Enrolled

Adams County has seen an overall decrease in population obtaining SNAP benefits (SNAP).

#### CHIP – Children Enrolled

PA has seen a decrease in children enrolled in CHIP, as has Adams County (CHIP).

#### Race & Ethnicity - Children

About 80% of children in Adams County are non-Hispanic White. Adams County has about 14% of children in the Hispanic/Latino race category (<u>Race</u>).

#### **Obesity - Children and teens overweight or obese**

About three in ten teens ages 10 to 17 in Pennsylvania are overweight or obese (Obesity\_State).

#### Grades K-12 Screened for BMI by Percentile

About 20% of all students grades K through 12 screened in the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile or higher for BMI in Adams County (<u>Obesity\_County</u>).

#### Ratio of Pediatricians to Child Population in PA

Pennsylvania has 97 general pediatricians per 100,000 children, compared with 80 in the United States (<u>Pediatricians</u>).

#### Rate of Pediatricians to Child Population by County

Adams County has 3.8 pediatricians per 100,000 children (<u>Pediatricians\_cont</u>).

#### Children ages 3-4 with access to high-quality pre-k

Adams County has 15.2% of children with access to publically funded, high-quality Pre-K (<u>High Quality</u> <u>PreK</u>).

#### Children ages 3-4 below 300% poverty with access to publicly funded, high-quality prek

Adams County has far fewer children ages 3-4 below 300% poverty with access to publicly funded highquality pre-k compared to the state ( $\underline{PreK}$ ).



#### Population Shares by Age - Children

The U.S. and PA saw decreases in population 19 and younger. Adams County showed decreases in all age groups (<u>Population A2</u>).

#### **Population Poverty – Children and Families**

Adams County has 12% of children in poverty. Adams County has fewer children in poverty compared to the state (18%) and the country (19%) (Income C-3).

#### Households with Children Receiving Food Stamp Benefits

Rates of eligible children receiving food stamps are lower in Adams County to that of the US but higher than that of PA. Adams County has seen a decrease in those receiving benefits in the comparison time period (Income C-4).

#### Students with Limited English Language Proficiency

Adams County has about 6% of students with limited English proficiency (Language).

#### Students Eligible for Free or Reduced-Price Lunch

Adams County has an average of 37% of students eligible for free or reduced school lunch (Free Reduced Lunch).

#### PSSA Math by County

Adams County has average PSSA Math scores of 45% scoring proficient and advanced (PSSA Math).

#### **PSSA Reading by County**

Adams County has 62% of students scoring proficient or advanced on average Reading PSSA (<u>PSSA</u> <u>Reading</u>).

#### **PSSA Science by County**

Adams County has an average of 73% of students scoring proficient or advanced in PSSA Science (<u>PSSA</u> <u>Science</u>).

#### Youth Homelessness

Adams County has 237 homeless youths (1,889) (Homelessness).

#### WIC

Adams County has 1,390 individuals receiving WIC benefits (WIC).



Table G1. Health Insurance Coverage									
	United State	s		Pennsylvan	ia	Adams County			
	2008-12	2015-19	change	2008-12	2015-19	change	2008-12	2015-19	change
Health Insurance Coverage									
Population with health insurance coverage	258,778,088	291,458,259	32,680,171	11,284,898	11,872,063	91,016	91,016	95,545	4,529
% of population with coverage	85.10%	91.2%	6.1%	90.30%	94.3%	91.00%	91.00%	94.4%	3.4%
% with private health insurance*	66.1%	67.9%	1.8%	72.8%	73.0%	78.9%	78.9%	79.1%	0.2%
% with public coverage*	32.1%	35.1%	3.0%	32.6%	36.3%	28.6%	28.6%	32.5%	3.9%
% of population without health insurance	14.900%	8.800%	-6.1%	9.700%	5.700%	9.000%	9.000%	5.600%	-3.4%
Percent Uninsured by Race and Ethnicity									
Black	17.5%	10.1%	-7.40%	14.5%	7.5%	12.1%	12.1%	7.7%	-4.40%
Latino	30.1%	18.2%	-11.90%	20.7%	12.9%	31.0%	31.0%	16.2%	-14.80%
White Non-Latino	10.4%	5.9%	-4.50%	8.1%	4.7%	7.2%	7.2%	4.7%	-2.50%
Percent Uninsured by Age Group*									
Under 6 years old	5.2%	4.1%	-1.10%	5.2%	4.6%	6.8%	6.8%	6.9%	0.10%
Ages 19-25	23.2%	14.7%	-8.50%	15.5%	9.5%	15.0%	15.0%	9.7%	-5.30%
Ages 65 and older	1.0%	0.8%	-0.20%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.2%	-0.20%

\*Comparison data is for years 2011-2015 (earliest year that age group was split into these categories)

Health coverage rates have increased in Adams County for most groups. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

	Health Outcomes	Health Factors	Length of Life	Quality of Life	Health Behaviors	Clinical Care	Social & Economic Factors	Physical Environment
County	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank	Rank
Adams	10	8	10	19	11	27	7	17
Franklin	12	18	16	22	19	53	8	29
Lancaster	9	16	7	15	7	45	13	60
Lebanon	29	19	19	43	18	12	19	65
York	19	15	21	25	14	17	12	55

#### **County Health Rankings**

Adams County ranks favorably in the Robert Wood Johnson's County Health Rankings, including number 7 overall (out of 67 counties) for Social & Economic factors and number 11 overall for Health Behaviors. Adams County's relative health ranking has remained mostly consistent since 2016 and is typically within the top 20 percent of Pennsylvania counties. The County Health Rankings data suggest that Adams County residents' health issues are strongly associated with health behaviors, including obesity and associated indicators such as poor eating, a lack of physical activities, and poor mental health. Clinical care indicators are relatively poor due to having too few primary care physicians, dentists, and mental health providers. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

		2010	2019
Deaths (all causes)		917	1,028
Population	101	,407	103,009
Crude Rate Per 100,000	9	004.3	998
Age Adjusted Rate Per 100,000		721	659.2
Suicide Deaths		14	10
Population	101	,407	103,009
Crude rate per 100,000	Unreliable	Unreliable	
Age-Adjusted Rate per 100,000	Unreliable	Unreliable	
Source: https://wonder.cdc.gov/controller/datarequest/D	.,	7284CA90DD91380B <sup>-</sup> 2015	1C3#Options <b>2019</b>
Overall Deaths		1053	1033
Homicide deaths per 100,000 (2013-19)		1	

Source: 2013-2019 data;

https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/app/pennsylvania/2021/measure/factors/15/data

There are too few suicide deaths in Adams County to calculate a rate. Overall deaths (age-adjusted rate) have decreased in Adams County since 2010. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table A1: Total Population									
•		Unit	ted States		Penn	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	% change	2007-11	2015-19	% change	2007-11	2015-19	% change
Total Population	306,603,772	324,697,795	6%	12,660,739	12,791,530	1%	101,137	102,470	1%
Population by Gender			change			change			change
Male share of population	49.20%	49.20%	0.00%	48.70%	49.00%	0.30%	49.30%	49.20%	-0.10%
Female share of population	50.80%	50.80%	0.00%	51.30%	51.00%	-0.30%	50.70%	50.80%	0.10%

Adams County's population has grown at the same rate as that of the State. Adams County's population growth rate is not as high as that of the United States, which is 6%. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Deaths

		Unit	ted States		Pen	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Under 5 years	6.60%	6.10%	-0.50%	5.70%	5.50%	-0.20%	5.60%	5.10%	-0.50%
5 to 9 years	6.60%	6.20%	-0.40%	6.00%	5.70%	-0.30%	5.90%	5.50%	-0.40%
10 to 14 years	6.70%	6.40%	-0.30%	6.30%	6.00%	-0.30%	6.60%	6.10%	-0.50%
15 to 19 years	7.20%	6.50%	-0.70%	7.20%	6.40%	-0.80%	7.50%	6.60%	-0.90%
20 to 24 years	7.00%	6.80%	-0.20%	6.80%	6.50%	-0.30%	6.60%	6.50%	-0.10%
25 to 34 years	13.30%	13.90%	0.60%	11.80%	13.10%	1.30%	10.40%	10.70%	0.30%
35 to 44 years	13.60%	12.60%	-1.00%	13.10%	11.70%	-1.40%	13.50%	10.60%	-2.90%
45 to 54 years	14.50%	13.00%	-1.50%	15.30%	13.20%	-2.10%	15.60%	14.30%	-1.30%
55 to 59 years	6.30%	6.70%	0.40%	6.80%	7.20%	0.40%	6.90%	7.50%	0.60%
60 to 64 years	5.30%	6.20%	0.90%	5.70%	6.90%	1.20%	6.10%	7.30%	1.20%
65 to 74 years	6.90%	9.10%	2.20%	7.60%	10.00%	2.40%	8.10%	11.50%	3.40%
75 to 84 years	4.30%	4.60%	0.30%	5.40%	5.30%	-0.10%	5.10%	5.80%	0.70%
85 years and over	1.70%	1.90%	0.20%	2.30%	2.50%	0.20%	2.10%	2.50%	0.40%
Median age (years)	37	38.1	1.10	39.9	40.8	0.90	40.8	43.9	3.10

The median age in Adams County is higher than that of the state and the nation. Adams County has a higher share of residents ages 85 and over compared to the nation. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table A3: Share of Population by	Race and Et	hnicity								
		Unit	ted States		Pen	nsylvania		Adams County		
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	
White	75.30%	76.30%	1.00%	82.70%	84.20%	1.50%	94.90%	94.40%	-0.50%	
Black/African American	14.00%	13.50%	-0.50%	12.70%	11.70%	-1.00%	2.50%	2.10%	-0.40%	
American Indian/Alaska Native	1.70%	1.60%	-0.10%	0.70%	0.60%	-0.10%	0.60%	0.60%	0.00%	
Asian	6.60%	5.50%	-1.10%	4.00%	3.00%	-1.00%	1.10%	1.00%	-0.10%	
Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	0.40%	0.30%	-0.10%	0.10%	0.10%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	18.00%	16.10%	-1.90%	7.30%	5.50%	-1.80%	7.10%	5.80%	-1.30%	

The population shares of minority groups in Adams County has been decreasing or staying the same. White persons continue to comprise over 94% of the population in Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

#### ATTACHMENT A: SECONDARY DATA ANALYSIS SUMMARY – ADAMS COUNTY

Broadband Access			
	United States	Pennsylvania	Adams County
Households with broadband		36.90%	40.00%
Households with access to broadband	76.60%	86.50%	94.00%

Source: https://datawrapper.dwcdn.net/9LhSS/8/

Adams County has access to broadband internet, while only two in five households actually have broadband internet. Click here to return to text

Language Spoken at Home										
		Ŭ	nited States		Penr	nsylvania		Adams County		
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	
Population 5 years and over	286,433,395	304,930,125	18,496,730	11,933,062	12,084,967	151,905	95,493	97,279	1,786	
Speak only English	79.70%	78.40%	-1.3%	90.00%	88.60%	-1.4%	93.80%	93.70%	-0.1%	
Speak a language other than English	20.30%	21.60%	1.3%	10.00%	11.40%	1.4%	6.20%	6.30%	0.1%	
Spanish or Spanish Creole	12.60%	13.40%	0.8%	4.20%	5.00%	0.8%	4.30%	5.00%	0.7%	
Other Indo-European languages	3.70%	3.70%	0.0%	3.60%	3.70%	0.1%	1.30%	0.90%	-0.4%	
Asian and Pacific Island languages	3.20%	3.50%	0.3%	1.70%	2.00%	0.3%	0.50%	0.30%	-0.2%	
Other languages	0.80%	1.10%	0.3%	0.50%	0.70%	0.2%	0.10%	0.00%	-0.1%	

Over 93% of households in Adams County speak only English. This rate is higher than that of the state and nation. The most common second language spoken for all counties is Spanish or Spanish Creole. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

		U	nited States		Pe	ennsylvania		Ada	ums County
	2007-11	2015-19	% change	2007-11	2015-19	% change	2007-11	2015-19	% change
Household Income Quint	ile Average	s							
Lowest quintile	\$ 12,178	\$ 13,870	12.20%	\$ 12,205	\$ 13,887	12.12%	\$ 15,858	\$ 18,811	15.70%
Second quintile	\$ 31,864	\$ 37,214	14.38%	\$ 31,182	\$ 36,604	14.81%	\$ 36,707	\$ 42,453	13.54%
Third quintile	\$ 53,738	\$ 63,218	15.00%	\$ 52,435	\$ 62,027	15.46%	\$ 57,716	\$ 67,398	14.37%
Fourth quintile	\$ 84,253	\$100,061	15.80%	\$ 81,177	\$ 97,002	16.31%	\$ 82,945	\$ 97,620	15.03%
Highest quintile	\$184,876	<b>\$228,6</b> 70	19.15%	\$173,362	\$214,725	19.26%	\$ 151,406	\$ 177,110	14.51%
Household Income									
Median household income	\$ 53,363	\$ 62,843	15.08%	\$ 52,240	\$ 61,744	15.39%	\$ 57,748	\$ 67,253	14.13%
Mean household income	\$ 73,382	\$ 88,607	17.18%	\$ 70,072	\$ 84,849	17.42%	\$ 68,926	\$ 80,678	14.57%
Family Income									
Median family income	\$ 65,026	\$ 77,263	15.84%	\$ 65,847	\$ 78,521	16.14%	\$ 67,687	\$ 81,185	16.63%
Mean family income	\$ 85,384	\$103,863	17.79%	\$ 84,074	\$102,124	17.67%	\$ 79,124	\$ 93,050	14.97%

#### Income C-1

Incomes in Adams County have been increasing in recent years, even after adjusting for inflation. The second highest income households and the lowest income households in Adams County experienced the biggest percentage income gains between the two reference periods. In Adams County, the second lowest quintile income experienced the lowest gains. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table C2: Median	110usenoiu	Uni	Junierty	Per	nnsylvania			Ada	ms	County	
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11		2015-19		change
Total	\$ 52,762	\$ 62,843	\$ 10,081	\$ 51,651	\$ 61,744	\$ 10,093	\$ 57,097	\$	67,253	\$	10,156
Black	\$ 35,635	\$ 41,935	\$ 6,300	\$ 32,621	\$ 38,560	\$ 5,939	\$ 42,083	-			-
Latino	\$ 41,965	\$ 51,811	\$ 9,846	\$ 33,612	\$ 41,725	\$ 8,113	\$ 46,842	\$	59,147	\$	12,305
White Non-Latino	\$ 57,597	\$ 68,785	\$ 11,188	\$ 54,859	\$ 66,184	\$ 11,325	\$ 57,707	\$	68,324	\$	10,617

There are large income disparities by race and ethnicity in Adams County, with Latino households having much lower median income levels than White households. There are too few Black households in the county that there was not reliable data reported for that item per the American Community Census Data. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table C3: Poverty									
	U	nited Stat	es	Р	ennsylvan	ia	Ac	lams Cour	nty
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
All families	10.50%	9.50%	-1.00%	8.80%	8.40%	-0.40%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%
With related children under 18 years	16.40%	15.10%	-1.30%	14.70%	14.30%	-0.40%	8.00%	9.50%	1.50%
Married couple families	5.10%	4.80%	-0.30%	3.70%	3.60%	-0.10%	2.40%	2.40%	0.00%
With related children under 18 years	7.40%	6.60%	-0.80%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%	3.40%	3.70%	0.30%
Female-headed households, no husband present	29.40%	26.50%	-2.90%	28.10%	25.80%	-2.30%	20.60%	21.20%	0.60%
With related children under 18 years	38.20%	36.10%	-2.10%	38.40%	36.10%	-2.30%	25.50%	32.30%	6.80%
All People	14.90%	13.40%	-1.50%	13.10%	12.40%	-0.70%	8.50%	7.80%	-0.70%
Individual poverty by age									
Under 18 years	20.80%	18.50%	-2.30%	18.40%	17.60%	-0.80%	12.40%	11.70%	-0.70%
18-64 years	13.70%	12.60%	-1.10%	12.30%	11.90%	-0.40%	7.50%	7.00%	-0.50%
65 years and over	9.40%	9.30%	-0.10%	8.40%	8.10%	-0.30%	6.80%	5.80%	-1.00%
Individual poverty by race									
Black	26.50%	23.00%	-3.50%	28.70%	26.00%	-2.70%	15.30%	20.00%	4.70%
Latino	24.10%	19.60%	-4.50%	32.00%	28.10%	-3.90%	28.70%	18.90%	-9.80%
White non-Latino	10.30%	9.60%	-0.70%	9.50%	8.90%	-0.60%	6.80%	6.60%	-0.20%

In Adams County, poverty rates have slightly decreased for most people, although poverty among families has remain unchanged. Poverty has increased for married couple families with children. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

		Unit	ted States		Penr	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Families below poverty level	10.50%	9.50%	-1.00%	8.80%	8.40%	-0.40%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%
Households with supplemental security income	4,948,413	6,443,122	673,595	237,004	292,568	19,156	1,220	1,584	500
Households with cash public assistance income	2,948,651	2,853,791	-213,587	166,959	159,879	-13,573	577	795	134
Number of households with food stamp benefits	14,339,330	15,029,498	690,168	585,892	648,528	62,636	4,911	6,185	1,274
Share of households with food stamp benefits	10%	11.70%	1.45%	10.10%	13.30%	3.20%	5.20%	7.40%	2.20%
Share of households receiving food stamp benefits that are below the poverty level	54.10%	47.30%	-6.80%	55.30%	46.00%	-9.30%	49.20%	40.40%	-8.80%
Share of households receiving food stamp benefits with one or more people 60 years and older	24.90%	33.80%	8.90%	25.90%	36.30%	10.40%	24.00%	37.90%	13.90%
Share of households receiving food stamp benefits with children under 18 years	57.10%	50.10%	-7.00%	51.00%	43.70%	-7.30%	55.10%	46.20%	-8.90%

The number of households in Adams County that receive supplemental benefits, which include food stamps/SNAP, cash public assistance, and supplemental security income, has increased for those 60 years and older, and has decreased for households below poverty level and for those with children under 18. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table C5: Per Capita Income (Inflation- Adjusted)										
	United St	tates		Pennsylv	ania			Adam	ns County	
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2	2007-11	2015-19	change
Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2019										
inflation-adjusted dollars)	\$28,448	\$34,103	\$ 5,655	\$28,355	\$34,352	\$ 5,997	\$	26,829	\$ 31,590	\$ 4,761

Even accounting for inflation, per-capita income has increased in Adams County. Click here to return to text

Table D1: Employment Status of the Population 16 years and older									
		Unit	ted States		Penr	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Population in labor force	156,456,694	164,629,492	8172798	6,456,527	6,553,389	96862	54,662	52,918	-1744
% of population in labor force	64.80%	63.40%	-1.4%	63.20%	62.80%	-0.4%	67.20%	63.00%	-4.2%
Civilian labor force	64.40%	63.00%	-1.4%	63.10%	62.70%	-0.4%	67.00%	62.90%	-4.1%
Armed forces	0.50%	0.40%	-0.1%	0.10%	0.10%	0.0%	0.20%	0.10%	-0.1%
% of population not in labor force	35.20%	36.60%	1.4%	36.80%	37.20%	0.4%	32.80%	37.00%	4.2%
Unemployment Rate (Bureau of Labor									
Statistics, Annual Average)	8.70%	5.30%	-3.4%	7.90%	5.30%	-2.6%	5.20%	3.80%	-1.4%

Over the past 5 years, the rate of labor force participation has decreased in Adams County. Click here to return to text

Table D2: Means of Transportation	on to Worl	k								
		Unit	ed States		Penr	nsylvania		Adams County		
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	
Drove alone	76.6%	76.8%	0.18%	77.2%	76.5%	-0.65%	83.3%	83.1%	-0.16%	
Carpooled	10.2%	9.0%	-1.15%	9.2%	8.5%	-0.76%	8.9%	9.2%	0.25%	
Public transportation	4.9%	5.0%	0.04%	5.4%	5.6%	0.23%	0.3%	0.3%	0.04%	
Walked	2.5%	2.3%	-0.18%	3.4%	3.2%	-0.28%	2.6%	2.8%	0.14%	
Other means	1.7%	1.8%	0.08%	1.3%	1.5%	0.20%	1.3%	0.8%	-0.42%	
Worked at home	4.1%	5.1%	1.03%	3.5%	4.8%	1.26%	3.6%	3.7%	0.15%	
Mean travel time to work (minutes)	25.4	26.9	1.50	25.7	27.2	1.50	27.4	28.3	0.90	

The average length of workers' commutes in Adams County has increased slightly in recent years. Adams County has experienced a slight increase in rates of walking, which is contrary to the state and the nation. Adams County has seen an increase in working from home as have the state and the nation. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table D3: Employment by Occupation									
		United States Pennsylvania						Adams Co	
	2011- 15	2015- 19	change	2011- 15	2015- 19	change	2011- 15	2015- 19	change
Management, business, science, and arts occupations	36.7%	38.5%	1.8%	36.7%	38.8%	2.1%	29.1%	30.6%	1.5%
Service occupations	18.1%	17.8%	-0.3%	17.6%	17.3%	-0.3%	17.1%	17.4%	0.3%
Sales and office occupations	24.1%	21.6%	-2.5%	24.0%	21.2%	-2.8%	22.6%	19.8%	-2.8%
Natural resources, construction, and maintenance occupations	8.9%	8.9%	0.0%	8.3%	8.1%	-0.2%	10.9%	10.8%	-0.1%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations	12.2%	13.2%	1.0%	13.5%	14.5%	1.0%	20.4%	21.5%	1.1%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	2.0%	1.8%	-0.2%	1.5%	1.3%	-0.2%	3.1%	2.8%	-0.3%
Construction	6.2%	6.6%	0.4%	5.7%	5.8%	0.1%	6.8%	7.2%	0.4%
Manufacturing	10.4%	10.1%	-0.3%	12.2%	11.8%	-0.4%	18.6%	16.9%	-1.7%
Wholesale trade	2.7%	2.6%	-0.1%	2.8%	2.7%	-0.1%	3.3%	2.4%	-0.9%
Retail trade	11.6%	11.2%	-0.4%	11.7%	11.2%	-0.5%	11.2%	12.0%	0.8%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	5.0%	5.4%	0.4%	5.1%	5.6%	0.5%	4.3%	4.9%	0.6%
Information	2.1%	2.0%	-0.1%	1.7%	1.6%	-0.1%	0.9%	1.0%	0.1%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	6.6%	6.6%	0.0%	6.4%	6.4%	0.0%	4.0%	3.2%	-0.8%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	11.0%	11.6%	0.6%	9.9%	10.5%	0.6%	6.1%	7.8%	1.7%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%	25.9%	25.9%	0.0%	22.7%	23.9%	1.2%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	9.6%	9.7%	0.1%	8.4%	8.3%	-0.1%	8.8%	8.1%	-0.7%
Other services, except public administration	4.9%	4.9%	0.0%	4.7%	4.7%	0.0%	4.8%	4.9%	0.1%
Public administration	4.8%	4.6%	-0.2%	4.1%	4.0%	-0.1%	5.2%	4.9%	-0.3%

Jobs in Adams County have shifted away from sales and manufacturing and moved to professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table D4: Employment by Class of Worker											
		Unit	ed States		Penr	nsylvania	Adams County				
	2011-15	2015-19	change	2011-15	2015-19	change	2011-15	2015-19	change		
Private wage and salary	79.5%	80.2%	0.7%	83.9%	84.4%	0.5%	82.9%	83.1%	0.2%		
Government	14.3%	13.7%	-0.6%	10.8%	10.4%	-0.4%	11.1%	11.4%	0.3%		
Self-employed	6.0%	5.9%	-0.1%	5.1%	5.0%	-0.1%	5.9%	5.3%	-0.6%		
Unpaid family workers	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.1%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%		

Employment in Adams County has shifted away from self-employed jobs government and private wage and salary jobs. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table D5: Employment by Industry Sector		I le i d	ed States		Dom	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-	7- 2015- change		2007-	2015-	change	2007-	2015-	change
	11	19	8-	11	19	8-	11	19	U
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining:	2%	2%	-0.09%	1%	1%	0.06%	4%	3%	-0.46%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	1%	1%	-0.10%	1%	1%	-0.02%	3%	3%	-0.43%
Mining, quarrying, and oil and gas extraction	1%	1%	0.01%	0%	1%	0.08%	0%	0%	-0.03%
Construction	7%	7%	0.33%	6%	6%	0.05%	9%	8%	-1.21%
Manufacturing	13%	12%	-0.81%	16%	14%	-1.37%	23%	21%	-1.89%
Wholesale trade	3%	3%	-0.42%	4%	3%	-0.34%	3%	3%	-0.72%
Retail trade	10%	9%	-0.57%	10%	9%	-0.49%	9%	10%	0.63%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities:	6%	6%	0.16%	6%	6%	0.27%	5%	5%	0.11%
Transportation and warehousing	5%	5%	0.25%	5%	5%	0.33%	4%	4%	0.03%
Utilities	1%	1%	-0.08%	1%	1%	-0.06%	1%	1%	0.07%
Information	3%	2%	-0.36%	2%	2%	-0.40%	1%	1%	-0.50%
Finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing:	8%	8%	-0.34%	8%	8%	-0.05%	5%	4%	-1.29%
Finance and insurance	6%	6%	-0.31%	6%	6%	-0.03%	4%	3%	-0.94%
Real estate and rental and leasing	2%	2%	-0.04%	2%	1%	-0.02%	1%	1%	-0.34%
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and									
waste management services:	11%	12%	1.19%	10%	11%	1.08%	6%	8%	1.62%
Professional, scientific, and technical services	7%	8%	0.90%	7%	7%	0.86%	4%	5%	1.07%
Management of companies and enterprises	0%	0%	0.05%	0%	0%	0.03%	0%	0%	0.09%
Administrative and support and waste management services	4%	4%	0.24%	3%	3%	0.19%	2%	2%	0.46%
Educational services, and health care and social assistance:	21%	22%	1.09%	24%	25%	1.25%	19%	23%	3.81%
Educational services	8%	8%	0.36%	8%	8%	0.14%	7%	8%	0.88%
Health care and social assistance	13%	14%	0.73%	15%	17%	1.11%	12%	15%	2.92%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food									-0.96%
services:	6%	7%	0.42%	5%	5%	0.30%	6%	5%	-0.9070
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	2%	2%	0.06%	1%	1%	0.20%	1%	1%	-0.46%
Accommodation and food services	5%	5%	0.35%	4%	4%	0.11%	4%	4%	-0.50%
Other services, except public administration	4%	4%	-0.10%	4%	4%	-0.06%	3%	5%	1.22%
Public administration	6%	6%	-0.50%	5%	5%	-0.31%	7%	6%	-0.36%

Adams County has experienced a shift of employment away from sectors such manufacturing, finance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing, and into health care and social assistance. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table E1: Educational Attainment of the 25+ Population											
		Unit	ted States		Pen	Adam	Adams County				
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change		
Less than 9th grade	6.10%	5.10%	-1.00%	3.90%	3.20%	-0.70%	5.50%	4.00%	-1.50%		
9th to 12th grade, no diploma	8.50%	6.90%	-1.60%	8.20%	6.30%	-1.90%	9.30%	7.20%	-2.10%		
High school graduate (includes equivalency)	28.60%	27.00%	-1.60%	37.60%	34.70%	-2.90%	43.00%	39.90%	-3.10%		
Some college, no degree	21.00%	20.40%	-0.60%	16.30%	15.90%	-0.40%	16.40%	17.90%	1.50%		
Associate's degree	7.60%	8.50%	0.90%	7.40%	8.50%	1.10%	7.00%	8.70%	1.70%		
Bachelor's degree	17.70%	19.80%	2.10%	16.50%	19.00%	2.50%	11.50%	12.70%	1.20%		
Graduate or professional degree	10.50%	12.40%	1.90%	10.20%	12.40%	2.20%	7.30%	9.60%	2.30%		
Percent high school graduate or higher	85.40%	88.00%	2.60%	87.90%	90.50%	2.60%	85.20%	88.80%	3.60%		
Percent bachelor's degree or higher	28.20%	32.10%	3.90%	<b>26.</b> 70%	31.40%	4.70%	18.80%	22.30%	3.50%		

Adams County is experiencing an increase in the educational attainment levels of adults. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

		Unit	ted States		Pen	nsylvania		Adams Count	
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Total School Enrollees									
Nursery school, preschool	4,972,287	4,976,762	4,475	196,964	184,916	-12,048	1,209	1,167	-42
Kindergarten	4,143,438	4,048,970	-94,468	147,712	137,493	-10,219	1,105	963	-142
Elementary school (grades 1-8)	32654500	32,738,963	84,463	1233792	1,181,638	-52,154	10267	9,678	-589
High school (grades 9-12)	17,431,218	16,991,221	-439,997	685,921	623,936	-61,985	5,742	4,955	-787
College, undergraduate	18,485,591	18,099,606	-385,985	739,386	650,995	-88,391	6,204	5,684	-520
Graduate or professional school	3,990,002	4,229,344	239,342	175,558	171,753	-3,805	827	854	27
Share of Persons Enrolled in School by Age									
3 and 4 years	48.10%	48.30%	0.20%	49.50%	47.20%	-2.30%	43.90%	41.30%	-2.60%
5 to 9 years	95.60%	95.40%	-0.20%	94.50%	94.30%	-0.20%	95.20%	93.80%	-1.40%
10 to 14 years	98.40%	98.20%	-0.20%	98.20%	98.00%	-0.20%	99.50%	99.90%	0.40%
15 to 17 years	96.20%	96.80%	0.60%	96.30%	95.90%	-0.40%	97.30%	97.60%	0.30%
18 and 19 years	73.70%	75.40%	1.70%	78.90%	78.80%	-0.10%	80.30%	81.30%	1.00%
20 to 24 years	41.70%	41.40%	-0.30%	44.80%	42.30%	-2.50%	44.70%	42.10%	-2.60%
25 to 34 years	13.30%	11.80%	-1.50%	12.20%	10.30%	-1.90%	8.70%	7.80%	-0.90%
35 years and over	2.80%	2.40%	-0.40%	2.20%	1.90%	-0.30%	2.40%	1.90%	-0.50%
Share Enrolled in College by Gender			0.00%			0.00%			0.00%
Share of men ages 18-24 enrolled in college	38.00%	38.50%	0.50%	42.50%	40.10%	-2.40%	45.40%	41.70%	-3.70%
Share of women ages 18-24 enrolled in college	46.70%	46.90%	0.20%	51.40%	49.00%	-2.40%	52.70%	53.50%	0.80%

The percentages of college-age adults who are enrolled in higher education has decreased for men and increased for women in Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>
			U.S.		Pen	nsylvania		Adam	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Total housing units	131,034,946	137,428,986	6,394,040	5,554,939	5,693,314	138,375	40,636	42,292	1,656
Percent occupied	87.60%	87.90%	0.30%	89.20%	88.80%	-0.40%	94.60%	93.00%	-1.60%
Percent vacant	12.40%	12.10%	-0.30%	10.80%	11.20%	0.40%	5.40%	7.00%	1.60%
Total occupied housing units	114,761,359	120,756,048	5,994,689	4,952,566	5,053,106	100,540	38,431	39,345	914
Percent owner-occupied	66.10%	64.00%	-2.10%	70.60%	68.90%	-1.70%	77.00%	78.00%	1.00%
Percent renter-occupied	33.90%	36.00%	2.10%	29.40%	31.10%	1.70%	23.00%	22.00%	-1.00%

Adams County has seen an increase in house vacancy rates. The share of renter-occupied housing units has decreased in Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table B2: Housing Characteristics											
		Un	ited States		Per	nnsylvania			Ada	ns (	County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2	015-19	C	change
Owner-Occupied Housing											
Owner-occupied units	75,896,759	77,274,381	1,377,622	3,498,381	3,480,978	-17,403	29,576	ź	30,692		1,116
Housing units with a mortgage	67.60%	<b>62.</b> 70%	-4.9%	63.10%	60.10%	-3.0%	66.50%	6	2.90%		-3.6%
Housing units without a mortgage	32.40%	37.30%	4.9%	36.90%	39.90%	3.0%	33.50%	3	7.10%		3.6%
Median Value (2019 dollars)	\$ 188,621	\$ 217,500	\$ 28,879	\$165,322	\$180,200	\$ 14,878	\$ 205,436	\$20	05,800	\$	364
Households spending 30% or more of income on owner costs	27%	21%	-6%	25%	20%	-5%	27%		20%		-7%
<b>Renter-Occupied Housing</b>											
Occupied units paying rent	36,689,881	41,311,872	4,621,991	1,362,772	1,486,222	123,450	8,010		7,771		-239
Median monthly rent (2019 dollars)	\$ 882	\$ 1,062	<b>\$</b> 180	<b>\$</b> 780	\$ 938	\$ 158	\$ 784	\$	922	\$	138
Households spending 30% or more of income on rent	52%	50%	-2%	49%	48%	-2%	47%		46%		-2%

Inflation adjusted median home value has remained virtually stagnant in Adams County. Housing affordability has improved for owners and renters in Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table B3: Households by Type									
		Un	ited States		Penr	nsylvania		Adams	s County
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Total households	114,761,359	120,756,048	5,994,689	4,952,566	5,053,106	100,540	38,431	39,345	914
Average household size	2.6	2.62	0.02	2.47	2.45	-0.02	2.52	2.5	-0.02
Average family size	3.19	3.23	0.04	3.06	3.04	-0.02	2.97	2.97	0.00
Shares of all households that are:									
Family households	66.70%	65.50%	-1.20%	65.30%	64.00%	-1.30%	72.00%	70.30%	-1.70%
Male householder, no spouse present	4.60%	4.90%	0.30%	4.20%	4.60%	0.40%	4.40%	3.90%	-0.50%
Female householder, no spouse present	12.70%	12.40%	-0.30%	11.90%	11.80%	-0.10%	9.10%	9.10%	0.00%
Married-couple families	49.30%	48.20%	-1.10%	49.20%	47.70%	-1.50%	58.50%	57.30%	-1.20%
Nonfamily households	33.30%	34.50%	1.20%	34.70%	36.00%	1.30%	28.00%	29.70%	1.70%
Householder living alone	27.30%	27.90%	0.60%	29.10%	29.70%	0.60%	23.60%	25.20%	1.60%
65 years and over, living alone	9.50%	11.00%	1.50%	11.50%	12.80%	1.30%	10.00%	12.40%	2.40%

Household size has slightly decreased in Adams County, and has remained virtually stagnant in the U.S. Shares of single-parent households have increased slightly in Adams County for male household with no spouse present. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table G1. Health Insurance Coverage -Children										
	United States Pennsylvania Adams County									
	2011-2015	2015-19	change	2011-2015	2015-19	change	2011-2015	2015-19	change	
Percent Uninsured by Age Group*										
Under 6 years old	5.20%	4.10%	-1.10%	5.20%	4.60%	-0.60%	6.80%	6.90%	0.10%	

The U.S. and PA have seen a decrease and Adams County has seen an increase in health insurance coverage for children under 6 years old. <u>Click</u> <u>here to return to text</u>

Pennsylvania Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-5 With Insurance -5 No Insurance	Number		2009 - 2013	- Population under age 25 by health insurance coverage status and age group (5 year estimate) in Pennsylvania Health Insurance Coverage Data Type 2008 - 2012 2009 - 2013 2010 - 2014 2011 - 2015 2012 - 2016 2013 - 2017										
Ages 0-5   Ages 18-   Ages 19-   Ages 19-   Ages 19-   Ages 6-1   Ages 6-1   Ages 6-1   Ages 6-1   Ages 6-1					2010 - 2014	2011 - 2015	2012 - 2010	2013 - 2017							
Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 0-5 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1			827,930	825,900	822,190	819,540	817,300	813,888							
Ages 0-5 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-5 No Insurance	Percent	94.70%	94.70%	94.70%	94.80%	95.00%	95.20%							
Ages 0-5 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-5 INO IIISUIAIICE	Number	46,700	46,130	45,630	44,530	42,910	41,437							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1		Percent	5.30%	5.30%	5.30%	5.20%	5.00%	4.80%							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	5 Total	Number	874,630	872,030	867,810	864,070	860,210	855,325							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-5 10tal	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-17 With Insurance	Number	1,800,450	1,782,350	1,769,550	1,759,990	1,749,920	NA							
Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-1/ with msurance	Percent	94.70%	94.70%	94.90%	95.10%	95.30%	NA							
Ages 6-1 Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-17 No Insurance	Number	100,360	99,010	96,000	91,310	86,970	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-1/ INO Ilisufatice	Percent	5.30%	5.30%	5.10%	4.90%	<b>4.</b> 70%	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	17 Total	Number	1,900,810	1,881,350	1,865,550	1,851,300	1,836,900	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	-1/10141	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	8-24 With Insurance	Number	1,003,310	1,017,140	1,034,550	1,053,730	1,061,380	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	o-24 with insurance	Percent	81.10%	82.20%	83.90%	86.10%	87.60%	NA							
Ages 18- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	0.24 No Leonado	Number	233,520	220,060	198,160	169,897	150,047	NA							
Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	8-24 No Insurance	Percent	18.90%	17.80%	16.10%	13.90%	12.40%	NA							
Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	9.24 Tatal	Number	1,236,830	1,237,200	1,232,710	1,223,630	1,211,420	NA							
Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	0-24 I0tal	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Ages 19- Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	0.25 No Incorrection	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	143,450							
Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Adams	9-25 No Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	12.10%							
Ages 19- Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Adams	0 25 Tatal	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,190,181							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	9-25 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	0 25 With Income	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,046,731							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	9-25 With Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	87.90%							
Ages 6-1 Ages 6-1	10 No Income	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	94,949							
Ages 6-1	-18 No Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.70%							
Ages 6-1	10 Tatal	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2,008,600							
Adams	-18 10tal	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Adams	-18 With Insurance	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,913,651							
Adams Ages 0-5	-18 with insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	95.30%							
A Ges U-7	E W/: 1. The second	Number	6,350	6,130	5,950	5,970	5,800	5,783							
11ges 0 5	-5 With Insurance	Percent	93.70%	92.40%	92.50%	93.20%	94.20%	94.80%							
A 0.5		Number	430	500	480	440	350	318							
Ages 0-5	-5 No Insurance	Percent	6.30%	7.60%	7.50%	6.80%	5.80%	5.20%							
		Number	6,780	6,630	6,440	6,400	6,150	6,101							
Ages 0-5	-5 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA							
Ages 6-1		Number	14,910	14,580	14,410	14,250	14,180	NA							

	Percent	95.50%	94.70%	94.90%	95.30%	95.50%	NA
Acces (17 No Incompany)	Number	710	810	780	710	670	NA
Ages 6-17 No Insurance	Percent	4.50%	5.30%	5.10%	4.70%	4.50%	NA
A and ( 17 Tatal	Number	15,620	15,390	15,190	14,960	14,850	NA
Ages 6-17 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Acces 19 24 With Income	Number	8,290	8,310	8,380	8,640	8,650	NA
Ages 18-24 With Insurance	Percent	84.30%	83.80%	84.70%	87.20%	87.20%	NA
Acces 19 24 No Legurance	Number	1,540	1,610	1,510	1,266	1,268	NA
Ages 18-24 No Insurance	Percent	15.70%	16.20%	15.30%	12.80%	12.80%	NA
A and 19 24 Tatal	Number	9,830	9,920	9,890	9,910	9,910	NA
Ages 18-24 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Acces 10, 25 No Leavennes	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1,144
Ages 19-25 No Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	12.50%
A and 10, 25 Tetal	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	9,119
Ages 19-25 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Acces 10, 25 With Income	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7,975
Ages 19-25 With Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	87.50%
Acces (10 NL Inc. manage	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	803
Ages 6-18 No Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	4.90%
	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	16,297
Ages 6-18 Total	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} + $	Number	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	15,494
Ages 6-18 With Insurance	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	95.10%

Source: Kids Count Data Center

Among the 6-18 age group, the rate of uninsured is 5% in Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Location	Age group	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Pennsylvania	Total	1,040,306	1,075,725	1,069,715	1,061,313	1,032,778	1,095,553	1,116,435	1,111,744	1,120,934	1,113,276
	Ages 0-4	350,503	358,778	318,147	335,711	313,067	320,091	320,547	299,808	298,613	302,572
	Ages 5-11	370,802	389,278	369,809	401,511	395,666	421,010	429,697	436,359	437,110	427,287
	Ages 12-18	319,001	327,669	304,696	324,091	324,045	354,452	366,191	375,577	385,211	383,417
Adams	Total	Number	5,780	5,822	5,990	6,140	6,459	7,175	7,336	7,157	7,162
	Ages 0-4	Number	2,095	2,010	1,921	2,050	2,075	2,131	2,133	1,928	1,924
	Ages 5-11	Number	2,033	2,086	2,056	2,277	2,423	2,750	2,819	2,806	2,793
	Ages 12-18	Number	1,652	1,726	1,676	1,813	1,961	2,294	2,384	2,423	2,445

Source: Kids Count Data Center

Adams County has over 7,000 children enrolled in Medicaid. Click here to return to text

TANF - Pop	ulation (age 0	-17) receiv	ving aid ir	n Pennsylv	vania							
Location	Data Type	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Change
Pennsylvania	Number	152,454	153,940	143,665	136,701	133,277	124,521	111,918	104,463	88,250	78,159	-74,295
	Percent	5.50%	5.50%	5.10%	4.90%	4.80%	4.50%	4.00%	3.70%	3.20%	2.80%	-2.70%
Adams	Number	285	279	260	281	296	332	308	292	222	203	-82
	Percent	1.30%	1.20%	1.20%	1.30%	1.30%	1.50%	1.40%	1.30%	1.00%	0.90%	-0.40%

Source: Kids Count Data Center

Adams County has seen a decrease in children receiving TANF from 2010 to 2019. Click here to return to text

Location	Age group	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Change
Pennsylvania	Total	775,690	745,213	833,090	817,519	799,615	802,673	801,658	782,376	746,084	705,611	-70,079
	Ages 0-2	138,547	140,106	138,056	134,432	115,401	123,513	125,917	128,165	100,557	98,128	-40,419
	Ages 3-4	92,145	99,022	100,021	95,736	92,801	91,727	90,763	88,312	84,716	78,822	-13,323
	Ages 5-8	160,144	171,832	180,582	182,359	184,834	183,616	181,005	172,876	165,907	156,371	-3,773
	Ages 9-11	106,645	113,986	116,526	115,809	118,557	119,973	123,411	122,958	121,714	113,332	6,687
	Ages 12-14	93,867	100,736	104,848	104,392	104,755	104,748	105,275	103,543	105,125	101,996	8,129
	Ages 15-17	87,394	91,049	91,452	89,769	90,908	91,095	91,727	88,961	87,900	83,037	-4,357
	Ages 18-21	96,948	NA	101,605	95,022	65,226	88,001	83,560	77,561	80,165	73,925	-23,023
	Age 18	NA	28,482	28,562	27,007	27,133	26,323	26,316	NA	NA	NA	NA
Adams	Total	3,278	3,408	3,780	3,778	3,796	3,999	3,947	3,806	3,454	3,127	-151
	Ages 0-2	572	595	615	600	590	627	594	599	467	431	-141
	Ages 3-4	407	464	471	474	484	490	488	468	381	338	-69
	Ages 5-8	745	802	868	923	897	1,016	974	914	846	762	17
	Ages 9-11	505	566	561	548	590	600	663	691	641	545	40
	Ages 12-14	425	493	537	501	469	495	522	525	490	466	41
	Ages 15-17	325	390	404	409	452	459	412	388	399	359	34
	Ages 18-21	299	NA	324	323	197	312	294	221	230	226	-73
	Age 18	NA	98	113	109	117	111	121	NA	NA	NA	

Adams County has seen an overall decrease in population obtaining SNAP benefits. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

CHIP - Num	iser of children		io) emon	it by age	Stoup III I	ciiiisyiva	1110					
Location	Age group	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Change
Pennsylvania	Ages 0-4	27,569	27,888	28,050	27,518	24,796	23,939	27,838	30,679	30,478	28,016	447
	Ages 5-11	78,080	77,758	77,754	75,321	66,961	60,972	67,665	73,482	74,823	78,593	513
	Ages 12-18	91,089	88,580	87,916	84,576	75,056	62,005	66,907	71,852	73,307	76,926	-14,163
	Total	196,810	194,274	193,743	187,434	166,863	146,942	162,410	176,048	178,646	183,564	-13,246
Adams	Ages 0-4	347	407	410	351	274	276	305	349	362	288	-59
	Ages 5-11	866	926	966	956	746	723	763	860	837	831	-35
	Ages 12-18	895	902	925	937	772	612	700	791	780	794	-101
	Total	2,110	2,235	2,301	2,245	1,792	1,612	1,768	2,001	1,979	1,913	-197

PA has seen a decrease in children enrolled in CHIP, as has Adams County. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Race & Ethn	icity - Population - Children (ages 0-19)	by race and ag	ge group i	n Pennsy	lvania
Location	Race Ethnicity	Age group	2010	2019	Change
Pennsylvania	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	0-4 Years	11.03%	13.65%	2.6%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	10-14 Years	8.58%	12.41%	3.8%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	15-19 Years	7.93%	10.98%	3.1%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	5-9 Years	9.66%	13.24%	3.6%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	0-4 Years	0.13%	0.17%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	10-14 Years	0.14%	0.13%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	15-19 Years	0.15%	0.15%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	5-9 Years	0.13%	0.16%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Asian	0-4 Years	3.27%	3.76%	0.5%
	Non-Hisp Asian	10-14 Years	2.79%	3.81%	1.0%
	Non-Hisp Asian	15-19 Years	2.83%	4.14%	1.3%
	Non-Hisp Asian	5-9 Years	3.28%	3.94%	0.7%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	0-4 Years	13.29%	13.06%	-0.2%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	10-14 Years	12.88%	12.86%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	15-19 Years	13.78%	12.63%	-1.2%

	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	5-9 Years	12.64%	13.52%	0.9%
	Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	0-4 Years	0.03%	0.04%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	10-14 Years	0.02%	0.03%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	15-19 Years	0.02%	0.03%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	5-9 Years	0.03%	0.04%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Two or More Races	0-4 Years	4.28%	4.21%	-0.1%
	Non-Hisp Two or More Races	10-14 Years	2.77%	4.01%	1.2%
	Non-Hisp Two or More Races	15-19 Years	2.13%	3.12%	1.0%
	Non-Hisp Two or More Races	5-9 Years	3.40%	4.34%	0.9%
	Non-Hisp White	0-4 Years	67.97%	65.11%	-2.9%
	Non-Hisp White	10-14 Years	72.82%	66.73%	-6.1%
	Non-Hisp White	15-19 Years	73.16%	68.96%	-4.2%
	Non-Hisp White	5-9 Years	70.86%	64.75%	-6.1%
Adams	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	0-4 Years	14.18%	13.85%	-0.3%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	10-14 Years	9.71%	13.89%	4.2%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	15-19 Years	8.11%	12.19%	4.1%
	Hispanic or Latino Ethnicity	5-9 Years	12.54%	15.12%	2.6%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	0-4 Years	0.20%	0.38%	0.2%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	10-14 Years	0.09%	0.20%	0.1%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	15-19 Years	0.13%	0.18%	0.1%
	Non-Hisp Am Indian and Alaska Native	5-9 Years	0.15%	0.16%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Asian	0-4 Years	0.75%	0.73%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Asian	10-14 Years	0.74%	0.86%	0.1%
	Non-Hisp Asian	15-19 Years	0.94%	1.15%	0.2%
	Non-Hisp Asian	5-9 Years	0.96%	0.80%	-0.2%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	0-4 Years	1.77%	1.86%	0.1%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	10-14 Years	1.87%	2.13%	0.3%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	15-19 Years	2.15%	2.13%	0.0%
	Non-Hisp Black or African Am	5-9 Years	1.68%	2.02%	0.3%
	Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	0-4 Years	0.04%	0.00%	0.0%
L			0.0.70	0.00,0	0.070

Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	10-14 Years	0.02%	0.03%	0.0%
Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	15-19 Years	0.03%	0.00%	0.0%
Non-Hisp Native Hawaiian & Oth Pac Is	5-9 Years	0.03%	0.00%	0.0%
Non-Hisp Two or More Races	0-4 Years	2.74%	3.48%	0.7%
Non-Hisp Two or More Races	10-14 Years	2.36%	3.22%	0.9%
Non-Hisp Two or More Races	15-19 Years	1.75%	2.31%	0.6%
Non-Hisp Two or More Races	5-9 Years	2.33%	3.36%	1.0%
Non-Hisp White	0-4 Years	80.33%	79.71%	-0.6%
Non-Hisp White	10-14 Years	85.21%	79.67%	-5.5%
Non-Hisp White	15-19 Years	86.88%	82.05%	-4.8%
Non-Hisp White	5-9 Years	82.31%	78.54%	-3.8%

About 80% of children in Adams County are non-Hispanic White. Adams County has about 14% of children in the Hispanic/Latino race category. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

## Children and teens overweight or obese by gender in Pennsylvania

Location	Gender	Data Type	2016 - 2017	2017 - 2018	2018 - 2019
	Male	Percent	31%	28%	29%
Pennsylvania	Female	Percent	29%	27%	27%
	Total 10 to 17	Percent	30%	28%	28%

\*Data Source: Kids Count Data Center (Data Source: Child Trends analysis of data from the U.S.

Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Maternal and

Child Health Bureau, National Survey of Children's Health.) https://datacenter.kidscount.org/)

About three in ten teens ages 10 to 17 in Pennsylvania are overweight or obese. Click here to return to text

		Screened	BMI < 5th		BMI 5th to < 85th		BMI 85th to < 95th		BMI >= 95th	
SY	Grade	for BMI	Percentile	Percent	Percentile	Percent	Percentile	Percent	Percentile	Percent
2017-18	K-6	7,756	290	3.74%	4,790	61.76	1,252	16.14%	1,424	18.36%
2017-18	7-12	6,626	117	1.77%	3,795	57.27%	1,093	16.50%	1,449	21.87%

## **Obesity: Adams County**

Source: https://www.health.pa.gov/topics/school/Pages/Statistics.aspx

Legend: <5th Percentile= At risk for underweight; 5th to < 85th Percentile = Healthy weight; 85th to <95th Percentile = Overweight (Formerly At risk for Overweight); >=95th Percentile = Obese (Formerly Overweight)

About 20% of all students grades K through 12 screened in the 95th percentile or higher for BMI in Adams County. Click here to return to text

US-Based Ped	iatric Diplomate	s Currently Cer	tified, Age 70 and Un	der, and Estimated	Number of Chi	ldren by State, Includ	ing Ratios
	Diplo	mates and rati	os, general pediatrici	ans only	Diplom	ates and ratios, including	g subspecialists
	State Child population	Diplomates	Child population per diplomate	Diplomates per 100,000 children	Diplomates	Child population per diplomate	Diplomates per 100,000 children
Pennsylvania	2,664,515	2,578	1,033.60	96.8	3,457	771	130
United States	73,653,971	58,519	1,258.60	79.5	76,214	966	104

Source: https://www.abp.org/sites/abp/files/workforcedata2018-2019.pdf

Pennsylvania has 97 general pediatricians per 100,000 children, compared with 80 in the United States. Click here to return to text

-	Number of providers per 10,000 children aged 0-17 years, as of 2015. Please note that in counties with fewer than 10,000 children, the number of providers may be overestimated										
County	Pediatricians	Psychiatrists	Family Medicine Physicians	Licensed Social Workers	Psychologists						
Pennsylvania	5.4	3.4	15.2	17.8	12.3						
Adams	3.8	0.5	14.8	5.2	8.6						

Source: Content source: National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; https://www.cdc.gov/childrensmentalhealth/stateprofiles-providers/pennsylvania/index.html

Adams County has 3.8 pediatricians per 100,000 children. Click here to return to text

Children ages	s 3-4 with access to high-quality pro	e-k in Pennsylvania						
Location	Туре	Data Type	2013	2014	2015	2017	2018 - 19	2019 - 20
	High gradity and b	Number	87,966	92,471	94,043	106,707	105,978	NA
Donnorlannia	High-quality pre-k	Percent of all children ages 3-4	29.60%	31.10%	31.70%	36.20%	35.70%	NA
Pennsylvania	Dublish forded high quality and h	Number	52,933	56,206	55,242	68,972	75,868	68,410
	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Percent of all children ages 3-4	17.80%	18.90%	18.60%	23.40%	25.50%	23.00%
	II to be a life and to	Number	436	454	462	NA	NA	NA
A 1	High-quality pre-k	Percent of all children ages 3-4	18.70%	19.50%	19.80%	NA	NA	NA
Adams	Publicly funded high quality are ly	Number	315	362	354	431	409	354
	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Percent of all children ages 3-4	13.50%	15.50%	15.20%	19.70%	17.50%	15.20%

Adams County has 15.2% of children with access to publically funded, high-quality Pre-K. Click here to return to text

Children ages	s 3-4 below 300% poverty with acco	ess to publicly funded, high-quality	pre-k in F	Pennsylva	nia			
Location	Туре	Data Type	2013	2014	2015	2017	2018 - 19	2019 - 20
Pennsylvania	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Number	52,933	56,206	55,242	68,972	75,868	68,410
Pennsylvania	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Percent of children < 300% poverty	29.60%	31.10%	31.40%	39.40%	43.70%	40.20%
Adams	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Number	315	362	354	431	409	354
Adams	Publicly funded, high-quality pre-k	Percent of children < 300% poverty	22.30%	25.90%	29.00%	34.80%	29.80%	27.50%

Source: Kids Count Data Center

Adams County has far fewer children ages 3-4 below 300% poverty with access to publicly funded high-quality pre-k compared to the state (40%). <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table A2: Popu	lation Share	s by Age							
		Unite	ed States		Penr	nsylvania	Adams County		
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
Under 5 years	6.60%	6.10%	-0.50%	5.70%	5.50%	-0.20%	5.60%	5.10%	-0.50%
5 to 9 years	6.60%	6.20%	-0.40%	6.00%	5.70%	-0.30%	5.90%	5.50%	-0.40%
10 to 14 years	6.70%	6.40%	-0.30%	6.30%	6.00%	-0.30%	6.60%	6.10%	-0.50%
15 to 19 years	7.20%	6.50%	-0.70%	7.20%	6.40%	-0.80%	7.50%	6.60%	-0.90%

The U.S. and PA saw decreases in population 19 and younger. Adams County showed decreases in all age groups. Click here to return to text

	United States			Pennsylvania			Ad	nty	
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change
All families	10.50%	9.50%	-1.00%	8.80%	8.40%	-0.40%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%
With related children under 18 years	16.40%	15.10%	-1.30%	14.70%	14.30%	-0.40%	8.00%	9.50%	1.50%
Married couple families	5.10%	4.80%	-0.30%	3.70%	3.60%	-0.10%	2.40%	2.40%	0.00%
With related children under 18 years	7.40%	6.60%	-0.80%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%	3.40%	3.70%	0.30%
Female-headed households, no husband present	29.40%	26.50%	-2.90%	28.10%	25.80%	-2.30%	20.60%	21.20%	0.60%
With related children under 18 years	38.20%	36.10%	-2.10%	38.40%	36.10%	-2.30%	25.50%	32.30%	6.80%
Individual poverty by age									
Under 18 years	20.80%	18.50%	-2.30%	18.40%	17.60%	-0.80%	12.40%	11.70%	-0.70%

Adams County has 12% of children in poverty. Adams County has fewer children in poverty compared to the state (18%) and the country (19%). <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Table C4: Households with Supplemental Benefits in the	Table C4: Households with Supplemental Benefits in the Past 12 Months										
	United States Pennsylvania							Adams County			
	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change	2007-11	2015-19	change		
Families below poverty level	10.50%	9.50%	-1.00%	8.80%	8.40%	-0.40%	5.00%	5.00%	0.00%		
Share of households receiving food stamp benefits with children under 18 years	57.10%	50.10%	-7.00%	51.00%	43.70%	-7.30%	55.10%	46.20%	-8.90%		

Rates of eligible children receiving food stamps are lower in Adams County to that of the US but higher than that of PA. Adams County has seen a decrease in those receiving benefits in the comparison time period. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

Location	Data Type	2009 - 10	2010 - 11	2011 - 12	2012 - 13	2013 - 14	2014 - 15	2015 - 16	2016 - 17	2017 - 18	2018 - 19
AdamsBermudian Springs	Number	76	67	67	76	71	68	65	72	68	77
1 0	Percent	3.70%	3.20%	3.20%	3.80%	3.50%	3.40%	3.30%	3.60%	3.50%	4.00%
AdamsConewago Valley	Number	113	96	96	76	59	60	56	57	158	75
	Percent	2.80%	2.40%	2.40%	1.90%	1.50%	1.60%	1.50%	1.50%	1.70%	1.90%
AdamsFairfield Area	Number	2	2	2	2	5	3	6	5	13	5
	Percent	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.20%	0.50%	0.30%	0.60%	0.50%	0.50%	0.50%
AdamsGettysburg Area	Number	116	112	112	92	93	99	99	114	3	127
	Percent	3.70%	3.60%	3.60%	3.10%	3.10%	3.30%	3.30%	3.70%	3.80%	4.10%
AdamsGettysburg Montessori CS	Number	NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	3
	Percent	NA	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.00%	1.40%
AdamsLittlestown Area	Number	15	8	8	17	19	12	19	21	17	22
	Percent	0.70%	0.40%	0.40%	0.80%	0.90%	0.60%	1.00%	1.10%	0.90%	1.20%
AdamsUpper Adams	Number	96	88	88	100	113	129	131	124	141	168
11	Percent	5.50%	5.20%	5.20%	6.00%	6.90%	7.60%	7.60%	7.40%	8.30%	10.00%
AdamsVida CS	Number	NA	32	32	77	75	80	77	69	62	64
	Percent	NA	36.40%	36.40%	38.90%	35.10%	33.80%	30.20%	28.20%	24.70%	25.20%
Average Adams County											6.04%

Source: Kids Count Data Center

Adams County has about 6% of students with limited English proficiency. Click here to return to text

Location	Data	2009 -	2010 -	2011 -	2012 -	2013 -	2014 -	2015 -	2016 -	2017 -	2018 -
	Туре	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
AdamsBermudian Springs	Number	640	647	707	732	772	695	724	755	744	708
	Percent	28.90%	29.60%	30.60%	32.40%	38.40%	34.30%	35.70%	37.00%	38.00%	36.90%
AdamsConewago Valley	Number	1,234	1,289	1,402	1,461	1,558	1,579	1,704	1,693	1,708	1,665
	Percent	32.80%	34.50%	35.20%	37.30%	40.20%	41.60%	44.60%	44.20%	44.10%	42.80%
AdamsFairfield Area	Number	211	215	216	219	213	257	252	208	192	196
	Percent	17.30%	17.80%	18.50%	19.50%	19.30%	24.30%	24.30%	21.10%	19.50%	20.50%
AdamsGettysburg Area	Number	1,037	1,214	1,332	1,350	1,441	1,453	1,593	1,587	1,628	1,581
	Percent	33.60%	39.10%	42.70%	42.30%	44.60%	45.00%	49.20%	50.60%	51.60%	51.20%
AdamsGettysburg	Number	NA	90	84	67						
Montessori CS	Percent	NA	47.60%	42.20%	29.80%						
AdamsLittlestown Area	Number	577	589	684	665	651	686	681	696	685	564
	Percent	27.10%	27.60%	32.00%	32.10%	31.90%	33.60%	35.20%	35.70%	35.30%	29.40%
AdamsUpper Adams	Number	716	718	752	767	841	851	942	871	843	815
	Percent	40.60%	40.60%	41.30%	45.50%	50.40%	49.60%	54.50%	51.50%	48.40%	48.30%
Adams Average											36.99%

Adams County has an average of 37% of students eligible for free or reduced school lunch. Click here to return to text

Location	6	2006 -	2007 -	2008 -	2009 -	2010 -	2011 -	2012 -	2014 -	2015 -	2016 -
	Score	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	15	16	17
AdamsBermudian Springs	Advanced	Percent	38.90%	44.60%	44.20%	48.80%	46.30%	45.10%	47.30%	12.30%	17.60%
	Proficient	Percent	33.40%	29.00%	29.20%	28.20%	28.10%	28.00%	<b>28.80%</b>	31.10%	29.60%
	Basic	Percent	14.30%	14.80%	15.10%	12.90%	13.00%	15.00%	13.90%	35.00%	30.70%
	Below Basic	Percent	13.40%	11.60%	11.50%	10.20%	12.50%	11.90%	10.00%	21.70%	22.10%
AdamsConewago Valley	Advanced	Percent	39.60%	43.70%	47.00%	54.50%	49.50%	52.40%	50.50%	19.00%	23.80%
	Proficient	Percent	32.90%	31.40%	30.60%	29.60%	30.00%	29.40%	<b>29.</b> 70%	34.80%	32.80%
	Basic	Percent	14.90%	13.30%	12.90%	9.90%	12.60%	12.30%	11.80%	31.40%	26.90%
	Below Basic	Percent	12.50%	11.60%	9.40%	6.10%	7.80%	5.90%	8.00%	14.80%	16.50%
AdamsFairfield Area	Advanced	Percent	28.50%	37.80%	42.50%	42.50%	45.30%	47.00%	47.50%	10.70%	17.30%
	Proficient	Percent	37.90%	34.80%	28.90%	32.20%	31.70%	31.20%	31.40%	30.60%	30.40%
	Basic	Percent	17.00%	13.80%	16.60%	15.80%	13.80%	13.10%	12.20%	33.00%	28.40%
	Below Basic	Percent	16.60%	13.60%	12.00%	9.60%	9.20%	8.70%	9.00%	25.80%	23.90%
AdamsGettysburg Area	Advanced	Percent	39.60%	43.40%	47.90%	57.40%	60.30%	59.40%	60.10%	20.10%	29.00%
, 0	Proficient	Percent	33.10%	31.60%	33.70%	29.00%	27.40%	27.10%	27.60%	37.10%	30.50%
	Basic	Percent	16.00%	14.20%	10.90%	8.50%	6.70%	8.90%	8.10%	28.90%	25.70%
	Below Basic	Percent	11.30%	10.80%	7.40%	5.00%	5.60%	4.60%	4.30%	13.80%	14.80%
AdamsGettysburg	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	30.00%	LNE	3.50%	3.70%
Montessori CS	Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	40.00%	LNE	26.30%	18.50%
	Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	15.00%	LNE	45.60%	33.30%
	Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	15.00%	LNE	24.60%	44.40%
AdamsLittlestown Area	Advanced	Percent	34.10%	42.10%	39.70%	49.60%	49.90%	48.10%	50.40%	13.90%	14.90%
	Proficient	Percent	34.70%	32.20%	34.80%	30.10%	31.20%	32.70%	33.00%	33.70%	26.00%
	Basic	Percent	17.90%	14.60%	15.50%	12.80%	12.50%	13.50%	9.50%	34.20%	35.40%
	Below Basic	Percent	13.40%	11.10%	9.90%	7.60%	6.40%	5.70%	7.20%	18.20%	23.70%
AdamsUpper Adams	Advanced	Percent	38.20%	40.90%	47.10%	49.80%	45.80%	52.80%	48.50%	10.30%	13.50%
11	Proficient	Percent	33.50%	30.00%	29.70%	30.20%	34.30%	28.70%	28.70%	30.50%	26.80%
	Basic	Percent	13.20%	16.00%	13.10%	13.00%	13.70%	12.80%	13.60%	31.80%	29.10%
	Below Basic	Percent	15.00%	13.10%	10.00%	6.90%	6.30%	5.70%	9.20%	27.40%	30.60%
AdamsVida CS	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	23.50%	50.00%	LNE	9.70%	14.60%

Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	35.30%	27.30%	LNE	23.70%	25.20%
Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	29.40%	18.20%	LNE	29.00%	31.10%
Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	11.80%	4.50%	LNE	37.60%	29.10%

Adams County has average PSSA Math scores of 45% scoring proficient and advanced. Click here to return to text

Location	Score	2006 -	2007 -	2008 -	2009 -	2010 -	2011 -	2012 -	2014 -	2015 -	2016-
	30016	07	08	09	10	11	12	13	15	16	17
AdamsBermudian Springs	Advanced	Percent	28.00%	31.20%	32.90%	33.70%	32.80%	32.10%	33.90%	17.40%	15.40%
	Proficient	Percent	40.60%	40.30%	38.70%	36.10%	37.90%	38.80%	37.90%	48.80%	49.00%
	Basic	Percent	17.70%	15.90%	15.70%	16.40%	16.60%	15.70%	14.50%	27.10%	27.90%
	Below Basic	Percent	13.80%	12.50%	12.60%	14.30%	12.70%	13.40%	13.70%	6.70%	7.60%
AdamsConewago Valley	Advanced	Percent	27.20%	31.50%	32.90%	32.80%	30.40%	29.80%	30.30%	16.50%	20.50%
	Proficient	Percent	39.70%	37.10%	36.10%	39.50%	41.30%	42.50%	37.80%	48.20%	45.90%
	Basic	Percent	18.60%	16.50%	16.50%	15.80%	17.50%	17.30%	18.60%	29.50%	27.00%
	Below Basic	Percent	14.40%	14.80%	14.60%	12.70%	10.80%	10.40%	13.20%	5.80%	6.50%
AdamsFairfield Area	Advanced	Percent	26.20%	27.40%	34.40%	33.30%	36.40%	35.30%	38.20%	14.80%	15.40%
	Proficient	Percent	36.90%	39.90%	36.60%	38.50%	36.80%	36.10%	37.40%	48.90%	48.30%
	Basic	Percent	21.20%	18.60%	15.80%	13.40%	13.30%	17.40%	12.80%	29.30%	28.40%
	Below Basic	Percent	15.70%	14.20%	13.20%	15.40%	13.50%	11.10%	11.60%	6.90%	7.90%
AdamsGettysburg Area	Advanced	Percent	35.50%	33.70%	40.70%	43.70%	43.90%	43.60%	45.00%	24.80%	26.40%
	Proficient	Percent	35.00%	38.50%	34.90%	36.00%	36.00%	36.40%	33.40%	47.30%	43.90%
	Basic	Percent	14.70%	14.20%	13.70%	11.20%	12.60%	12.80%	12.90%	22.50%	23.90%
	Below Basic	Percent	14.80%	13.60%	10.70%	10.20%	7.40%	7.20%	8.70%	5.40%	5.70%
AdamsGettysburg	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	15.00%	LNE	17.50%	14.80%
Montessori CS	Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	55.00%	LNE	43.90%	44.40%
	Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	25.00%	LNE	31.60%	29.60%
	Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	LNE	5.00%	LNE	7.00%	11.10%
AdamsLittlestown Area	Advanced	Percent	30.30%	29.30%	30.90%	31.40%	37.80%	35.10%	38.70%	16.30%	13.70%
	Proficient	Percent	39.40%	38.80%	37.10%	39.80%	37.70%	42.30%	36.20%	50.60%	48.60%

	Basic	Percent	17.20%	18.00%	17.80%	16.30%	14.10%	13.50%	13.40%	26.90%	30.50%
	Below Basic	Percent	13.10%	13.90%	14.10%	13.00%	10.40%	9.00%	11.70%	6.10%	7.30%
AdamsUpper Adams	Advanced	Percent	34.00%	32.10%	37.10%	34.90%	35.50%	30.60%	34.10%	16.00%	17.20%
	Proficient	Percent	36.10%	39.60%	35.60%	40.30%	39.50%	42.70%	37.00%	44.90%	44.40%
	Basic	Percent	14.20%	14.30%	15.20%	13.60%	15.90%	18.10%	15.40%	30.30%	29.80%
	Below Basic	Percent	15.70%	14.00%	12.10%	12.80%	9.10%	8.60%	13.60%	8.80%	8.60%
AdamsVida CS	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.80%	50.00%	LNE	18.30%	13.60%
	Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	38.90%	31.80%	LNE	33.30%	37.90%
	Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	5.60%	9.10%	LNE	36.60%	35.90%
	Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	NA	NA	27.80%	9.10%	LNE	11.80%	12.60%

Adams County has 62% of students scoring proficient or advanced on average Reading PSSA. Click here to return to text

PSSA – Overall Science scores by	proficiency le	vel in Penn	sylvania						
Location	Score	2008 - 09	2009 - 10	2010 - 11	2011 - 12	2012 - 13	2014 - 15	2015 - 16	2016 - 17
AdamsBermudian Springs	Advanced	Percent	23.90%	27.10%	24.60%	21.50%	36.10%	28.10%	33.60%
	Proficient	Percent	37.00%	35.10%	35.90%	36.50%	35.80%	41.60%	36.10%
	Basic	Percent	25.70%	24.10%	24.40%	30.50%	15.90%	20.20%	17.10%
	Below Basic	Percent	13.30%	13.70%	15.10%	11.40%	12.20%	10.10%	13.20%
AdamsConewago Valley	Advanced	Percent	28.10%	28.20%	32.30%	27.40%	30.80%	42.10%	39.50%
	Proficient	Percent	32.80%	34.20%	34.90%	41.90%	43.80%	39.20%	38.20%
	Basic	Percent	24.20%	25.00%	22.80%	23.30%	15.80%	11.50%	13.00%
	Below Basic	Percent	14.80%	12.60%	10.00%	7.50%	9.60%	7.10%	9.20%
AdamsFairfield Area	Advanced	Percent	25.60%	28.50%	29.60%	30.60%	42.90%	53.00%	32.90%
	Proficient	Percent	30.80%	34.50%	34.20%	36.20%	35.10%	32.90%	35.60%
	Basic	Percent	30.80%	20.10%	22.90%	25.00%	12.30%	9.30%	19.80%
	Below Basic	Percent	12.80%	16.90%	13.40%	8.20%	9.70%	4.80%	11.60%
AdamsGettysburg Area	Advanced	Percent	26.10%	26.70%	28.30%	24.40%	40.20%	LNE	48.60%
	Proficient	Percent	37.10%	34.30%	35.20%	41.20%	37.30%	LNE	31.30%
	Basic	Percent	24.10%	25.80%	26.20%	26.70%	13.80%	LNE	9.80%
	Below Basic	Percent	12.70%	13.20%	10.30%	7.70%	8.60%	LNE	10.30%
AdamsGettysburg Montessori CS	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	0.00%	42.90%	1.20%	26.70%
, ,	Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	0.00%	57.10%	15.10%	53.30%

	Basic	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	0.00%	0.00%	17.40%	13.30%
	Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	0.00%	0.00%	66.30%	6.70%
AdamsLittlestown Area	Advanced	Percent	24.40%	23.30%	24.30%	28.00%	33.70%	23.70%	34.20%
	Proficient	Percent	42.10%	39.30%	38.70%	37.60%	41.50%	40.40%	37.20%
	Basic	Percent	23.20%	24.00%	27.20%	25.30%	16.40%	21.90%	13.60%
	Below Basic	Percent	10.30%	13.40%	9.80%	9.10%	8.40%	14.00%	15.00%
AdamsUpper Adams	Advanced	Percent	24.90%	27.20%	31.10%	25.60%	37.50%	9.50%	28.30%
	Proficient	Percent	37.70%	39.40%	38.50%	45.60%	34.70%	25.60%	39.50%
	Basic	Percent	25.20%	25.30%	25.40%	23.00%	14.40%	21.80%	17.40%
	Below Basic	Percent	12.20%	8.10%	5.10%	5.80%	13.40%	43.20%	14.70%
AdamsVida CS	Advanced	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	61.50%	27.30%	28.70%	20.80%
	Proficient	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	23.10%	27.30%	39.30%	41.70%
	Basic	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	15.40%	18.20%	16.00%	16.70%
	Below Basic	Percent	NA	NA	LNE	0.00%	27.30%	16.00%	20.80%

Adams County has an average of 73% of students scoring proficient or advanced in PSSA Science. Click here to return to text

Education for Children and Youth Experiencing Homelessness Program 2019-2020 Counts by County									
Region	County Where Attributed Where Identified								
3	Adams	233	237						
	STATE TOTALS	37,930	38,899						

For the 2019-20 program year, education and community agencies identified 37,930 children and youth experiencing homelessness served by Pennsylvania's Education for Children and Youth Experiencing Homelessness (ECYEH) Program (unique, unduplicated count). The population includes children under the age of five and youth enrolled in prekindergarten through grade 12.

The 'where attributed' (unique) count is based on where the child or youth was identified as homeless and attributed to the local education agency (LEA) (i.e., school district, comprehensive technical school, or charter/cyber charter school) or entity (i.e., shelter, non-LEA Early Intervention, prekindergarten program, non-LEA private school/institution). Attribution is based on the LEA that the child or youth was identified by or enrolled in the longest. The unique count is used for state education agency (SEA) EDFacts reporting.

The 'where identified' (duplicate) count includes anywhere the child or youth was identified as homeless; children or youth may be identified in more than one LEA or by more than one entity. Children and youth identified by multiple entities are only reported with their LEA(s), as applicable. Children and youth reported with a non-LEA were only identified by that entity. The duplicate count is used for LEA EDFacts reporting.

Counts of 10 or fewer are indicated by \*. These \* counts are included in total counts. These counts should not be interpreted as necessarily representing all children and youth experiencing homelessness. Non-LEA entities report only if they receive direct or indirect services from the ECYEH Program.

Source: https://www.education.pa.gov/K-12/Homeless%20Education/Pages/2019-2020-Pennsylvania-ECYEH-Counts-by-County.aspx Adams County has 237 homeless youths. <u>Click here to return to text</u>

PA WIC F	PA WIC Program Data										
County	Dec. 2020	Jan. 2021	Change between most recent two months								
Adams	1398	1390	-8								
Total PA	176032	176472	440								

Source: https://www.pawic.com/PAWICProgramData.aspx

Adams County has 1,390 individuals receiving WIC benefits. Click here to return to text

Indianton		Ad	ams		Tranda
Indicator	2011	2014	2017	2022	Trends
Did not receive health care because of a lack of transportation		5%	5%	2%	/
Did not receive health care in past year because of cost	10%	10%	9%	3%	
Used illegal drugs in past year		5%	4%	3%	
Fell behind paying rent or mortgage				3%	
Uninsured (% of pop. under age 65 without health insurance)		11%	12%	4%	
Adult e-cigarette vaping (% current vapers)			6%	6%	/
Experienced any unfair treatment b/c of race, ethnicity or cultural background				6%	
PHQ-8 current depression indicator-currently depressed	8%	6%	10%	7%	$\langle$
Ate fast food three or more days in past week		12%	4%	7%	
Skipped or reduced meals				7%	
Does not have a personal physician	8%	9%	14%	9%	
Experienced a reduction in pay				10%	
Binge drinking behavior	13%	16%	16%	12%	
Used marijuana one or more days in past month				12%	
No health insurance any time during past year (ages 18 - 64)		14%	15%	13%	$\langle$
Adult smoking (% current smokers)	21%	21%	18%	14%	
Does not get needed social and emotional support	6%	9%	5%	16%	$\langle$
No routine check-up with doctor in past 12 months	26%	25%	21%	16%	
Poor or fair health	16%	16%	15%	18%	
Needs help reading health materials at least occasionally				19%	
Experienced any trauma symptoms				19%	
Does not believe vaccines are generally safe and effective				21%	
COVID negatively impacted family finances				21%	
Stressed about recent loss of a loved one				25%	
Economic hardships (one or more)	37%	32%	31%	26%	
Has a high-deductible health plan			19%	28%	/
Has not received COVID vaccine				32%	
Has not seen a dentist in past year	23%	28%	32%	34%	
Stressed about money worries				38%	
Did not participate in physical activities or exercise in past month	23%	21%	31%	39%	
COVID negatively impacted mental health				39%	
At least one day mental health was not good in past month	39%	38%	34%	42%	
At least one day physical health was not good in past month	40%	34%	43%	43%	
One or more days with depressive symptoms in past two weeks	58%	55%	59%	61%	
No strength training in past month	58%	54%	53%	70%	
Experienced any symptoms of not getting enough sleep				76%	
BMI: Overweight and Obese	74%	68%	72%	82%	
Did not exercise 30 minutes on five days in past week	84%	82%	81%	84%	